Attachment 28. Public Comments
Comments received 2/27/2021 through 3/23/2021
The Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of parking at this facility, given its own projected rates of trips and visits, and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

1. The weekday peak hourly rate of this facility increases from 6 trips per hour to 36, a 600% increase and the weekend hourly rate from 11 to 69, a 627% increase.
2. The total daily trips increase from 38 to 291, a 765% increase.
3. There are only 11-12 guest parking spaces, and there is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30", this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold is available. This increases to 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated."

Victor I. Reus  
Kira D. Tiedgens  
Submitted solely as personal opinion

---

**From:** Crystal Acker <Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 11:12 AM  
**To:** Reus, Victor <Victor.Reus@ucsf.edu>  
**Subject:** RE: UPC17-0094

Hi Victor,

The draft Initial Study/Negative Declaration is available for review here:

https://share.sonoma-county.org/link/N6akMIfRJd0/

---

*Due to Public Health Orders, Permit Sonoma will be temporarily closing to the public effective Monday, July 20 until further notice. We continue to provide services remotely minimizing person-to-person contact which helps protect our community. We look forward to serving you and will reply to your message within the next three business days.*
We encourage you to use our online services for permitting, records, scheduling inspections, and general questions. You can find out more about our extensive online services at permitsonoma.com.

Thank you for your patience and understanding as we work together to keep our communities safe.

Crystal Acker, M.S.
Planner III
www.PermitSonoma.org
County of Sonoma
Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Direct: 707-565-8357  
Office: 707-565-1900 | Fax: 707-565-1103

OFFICE HOURS: Permit Sonoma’s public lobby is open Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM, except Wednesdays, open from 10:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

From: Reus, Victor <Victor.Reus@ucsf.edu>
Sent: March 03, 2021 10:50 AM
To: Crystal Acker <Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org>
Subject:

Please provide me with a copy the IS/ND that you intend to file re the retail cannabis dispensary at 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen.

Victor I. Reus, M.D.
Dear Crystal,

It is unfortunate that the applicant did not withdraw his application and find a more suitable location. Perhaps his option to purchase required that he stick with it until the project is fully denied or lose his deposit. I think it would have been better for him to cut his losses and move on but since that hasn't happened we get to go through this exercise.

The Notice of Intent to Approve postcard states that if we want to dispute the project later we have to have our objections submitted by the time of the public hearing, thus I am writing.

This is the wrong business in that location, 8th Street East would be a much better location, lots of parking, no neighbors to annoy, minimal impact on traffic.

I disapprove of this project at the corner of Madrone and Arnold because:

1. The parking needs have been vastly underestimated. You have only to visit Mercy Wellness in Cotati to get a sense of the number of cars that will show up. Customers will have to park on the street, taking the spaces of the Rancho Market, the apartment complex AND the street parking already utilized by the people who live here.
2. As a licensed real estate agent I can tell you that the value of our properties will decline with the presence of that business.
3. The impact on traffic has been vastly underestimated, again, you have only to visit Mercy Wellness to get a sense of the traffic. This recent article describes cars around the block, to the Gravenstein Highway, which is not a problem because there aren't any residents impacted: Sonoma County cannabis dispensaries that deliver better positioned during pandemic (pressdemocrat.com)
4. The high school drops kids off right in front of that building! https://youtu.be/MnG7Ar6O9gc
5. I believe this business in this location will make our neighborhood a magnet for drug users. I don't want them here.
6. Because of the cash nature of their business it will attract crime in our neighborhood.
7. This project has been rejected by the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission.

If this project is approved by the Planning Commission the residents of our neighborhood are committed to appeal it before the County Board of Supervisors.

I look forward to continuing with this legally required process.

Sincerely,

Karla Noyes

15549 Brookview Dr.
Sonoma, CA 95476

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Ms. Acker:

We are writing to you to STRONGLY OPPOSE the above-mentioned marijuana dispensary.

Here are some of the reasons we don't want this business here: - we believe it will lower our property values - the increased traffic will make that intersection dangerous - there is not adequate parking and their customers will have to park on the street where residents currently park - because of the cash nature of the business it will attract crime - it will become a regional magnet for drug users - the high school drops kids off right in front of the business. This drug dispensary does not belong in our family neighborhood.

Please accept this request to decline approval of this dispensary.

We also would like to know the statistics as to how many people in Glen Ellen oppose this project.
We look forward to receiving this data.

Thank you,

Rick and Rhina Barrientos
Dear Crystal Acker,
I am writing to urge the county NOT to allow for this dispensary to be permitted at 15499 Arnold Dr. in Glen Ellen. It is not a proper location for this type of business and will only cause problems for the families and residents in this area. Traffic will clog and be dangerous for the intersection there, it’s not safe in that high school kids area dropped off from the bus right in front of this location and it will most likely cause drug users to congregate in the area which will be unsafe for young and elderly residents in the area. Please reconsider allowing for this dispensary to open at this location.
Best regards,
Genevieve Haven
Glen Ellen, CA.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
EXTERNAL

Yes! The retail space has been empty for many years and should be used to benefit the community. Selling this LEGAL product in the valley will reduce auto emissions as locals won’t have to leave the valley to shop. It increases our value to tourists. The location meets all county standards. And for those up in arms about “children living nearby,” please note we have many “alcohol dispensaries” in the neighborhood, and no one is complaining. As with alcohol, at a cannabis dispensary, only customers with proof of age are allowed to purchase the product. And let’s not forget the tax dollars to be generated by this business.

Support this project.
Marina Abbott

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
We support!
Barbara Zoeller

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
EXTERNAL

I wanted you to know that I live in GE and would love a marijuana dispensary there! I see no problem, having been in a few and seeing how they are run. I have lived here 40 years and am 68 years old. Sometimes we need medicine and it would be handy.
Thank you, Sandy Strassberg

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
I am in full support of this period

**Warning**: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Seems reasonable to have a cannabis dispensary at Madrone and Arnold Dr. just south of Glen Ellen. The neighbors will watch to insure the establishment is a law abiding facility.

As a Glen Ellen resident for four years, it has become obvious to me that some residents can not stand for any change even when the changes are legal. Even getting agreement on safe sidewalks from one end of this village to the other have been considered too radical a change to achieve agreement. Too many times I have seen ness as t misses between fast cars are roadside walkers returning from our restaurants - days are numbered before an injury is reported.

Back to Madrone and Arnold... The notation that a business can’t be held accountable to follow the law leads to too many ‘ Not in my back yard - NIMBY’ opinions.

I hope you will agree that if this business proposal meets legal requirements, and they are held accountable to current law, approval of this business is comparable to approval of the many wineries we have throughout the valley.

Thank you for considering my thoughts in this matter.

Sincerely,

John Taylor
Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen resident

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hope all is well. I was recently notified about the permit request for a cannabis dispensary at the 15499 Arnold dr, Glen Ellen. I am not pleased to hear this proposal. I have a toddler and a newborn and our community is great with a lot of other young families. And have concerns this would greatly impact the area. Bringing a long very unwanted traffic that might loiter around the area and could very well make there way down to the near by park where a lot of younger kids and teenagers go and spend quality time which could in part strongly influence our youth so being so close to home.

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Ms. Acker,

Please count me in as one who opposes this project, the second such project proposed for this property since 2018. This morning I posted the following message to Nextdoor and would hope you will consider my points as I believe they clearly make the case for shelving a dope shop (once and for all!) in a Glen Ellen neighborhood:

Marijuana Dispensary at Madrone & Arnold - Action Needed! 15499 Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen at the corner of Madrone and Arnold in the old fire station. Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094.

"So they’re back! It was 2018 when we last went through this effort to set up a head shop next to a school bus stop in that very spot (the old Glen Ellen Fire Station I believe is now owned by a Hap Arnold relative). Legalizing weed (which I endorsed) was never intended by most voters to open the door to fake "dispensaries" where pushers in white lab coats conduct their business as if they were pharmacists, which they aren't. Nor could we have imagined finding ourselves living in a county so hungry for tax revenue that our elected officials would vote to turn neighborhoods into massive collections of party houses (vac rentals), weed factories, and dope shops. Can you tell? I am as adamantly opposed to these money-making, neighborhood destroying, life shortening operations as I am to selling cigs. to children and filling community business districts with booze operations (common in poverty-stricken communities & throughout Sonoma County). As a people, I would hope that not everything is for sale, that we might at some point remind ourselves that the pursuit of happiness does not alone belong to those selling "a good time." Where do you stand?"

In closing, I will look ahead for your email containing the project documents.

Deborah Nitasaka
P.O. Box 1054
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

--
Typed on a tiny screen . . . Via deep sea cable . . . Archived forever, somewhere . . .
Facebook: Sonoma County Housing Advocacy Group

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Susan Gorin:

I support the proposal for a cannabis dispensary on Madrone / Arnold. I live in the area, drive by the vacant building daily. It is needed, would support the area, there are no children of concern, the mini-mart next door is a plus, with the new winery tasting room down the street.

Please be aware.

thanks,

Les Boschke
sonoma CA
Hi Crystal,

Please add my name to the list of people who are not in favor of the dispensary at this location. Sounds like you have heard multiple reasons for a different location from the neighborhood. Seem like very legitimate concerns to me so I hope a more appropriate location will be found and this one will be denied. Thanks.

Constance Bay
Homeowner and resident in Glen Ellen
Hi Crystal, I have lived near this corner for over 26 years. I am not against dispensaries but I am against a dispensary at that location for many reasons. The intersection of Madrone and Arnold is plagued with traffic issues. Cars running the stop on Arnold, traffic attempting to access the mini-mart, delivery trucks parked on Madrone not to mention the bus stop traffic that is on both sides of Arnold. The thought of the increased traffic attempting to access the proposed location is mind boggling for those of us that will have to deal with it every day! There are many children that live in the apartments and homes nearby. On most days and evenings these children walk or ride their bikes up to the mini-mart or are playing in the nearby apartment complex. I understand the proximity to the family apartments may not technically disqualify the dispensary location, but it should be a huge consideration due the the amount of children that are always in the specific area. I believe there are many more suitable locations in the valley, especially now that this pandemic has made more properties available that were not considered earlier. Thank you for your consideration, Steve Monterosso

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
We are totally opposed for me reasons than I have time to list.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hi Crystal,

I oppose the dispensary at this location due solely to inadequate parking and traffic with existing conditions. In addition, the County needs to consider the increased traffic that is inevitable after the SDC is developed. Traffic thru downtown Glen Ellen is not advisable; in fact, the state or county already directs people going to the SDC to Madrone Ave to access. When developed, the main access south will be Arnold and the main access north to SR will be Madrone to Hwy 12. This corner will be a mess with or without the dispensary. There will be traffic jams regularly between the section from Arnold to the SDC. Couple that with parking on the street and people crossing the road to get to the store and you've got a safety problem.

I support the idea of the business but not at this location.

As an aside, Arnold should be widened on both sides of the street WHERE NEEDED from the SDC to Boyes Blvd. to allow for safe bicycle travel in anticipation of the SDC development. (Bikes can then pick up Railroad Ave.)

Thanks,

Scott Callow
875 Martin St.
Glen Ellen, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello-

I’d like to add my voice to those of us in favor of adding a cannabis dispensary in Glen Ellen.

There seems to be tremendous misconception and fear among Glen Ellen residents about cannabis dispensaries. Before moving to Glen Ellen 7 years ago, I lived 1/2 block away from a dispensary. Neighbors were up in arms about the prospect of a dispensary yet soon learned that it was a clean, stylish and well-managed space that attracted a very respectable clientele. Contrary to everyone’s fears about adding a ‘drug element’ to the neighborhood where many children lived, patrons were neighbors and community members and it livened up an otherwise empty building on the block.

Another concern I have read is that it is a cash business. I think people don’t understand that this means most clients use ATM debit cards.

Additionally, it is my understanding that most, if not all, dispensaries typically have security protocols that ensure the safety of their staff and clientele.

I do understand the limited parking concerns but believe with good planning, the parking lot can be used effectively and concerns mitigated.

As a resident, I am much less concerned about adding a few dispensaries than I am about adding more events at wineries that flood our highways with drunk drivers.

Respectfully,
Katie Christ

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
A cannabis dispensary with 1,891 square feet of retail floor area and delivery service operating 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Saturday (closed Sunday) is seeking a use permit for the property at 1499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen.

If you have comments to share with the County on this matter, please be advised that the public review period ends March 29 at 5 p.m.

Send comments to:
Crystal Acker, Project Planner at Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

Additional information and digital file review are available.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FOR A CANNABIS DISPENSARY
Re: Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094
Oppose Dispensary in My Neighborhood

I purchased my home at 15241 Marty Drive in Glen Ellen 37 years ago in 1984. When the fire station was built at the corner of Madrone and Arnold, I was delighted to have the safety of fire protection so close to my home. The corner location with a driveway right at the intersection made sense for a fire department because fire engines could easily go several directions and of course, would have lights and sirens for safe ingress/egress. I was disappointed when it closed. Subsequent office uses and a residential unit had no negative impact on our neighborhood.

Fast forward to the prospect of a marijuana dispensary on that same corner. Instead of adding to our safety, it adds to our crime risk. To pretend otherwise is disingenuous—a cash business with drugs and extraordinary mandated security requirements does NOT belong in a somewhat remote residential neighborhood. The primary use of the property to the North is that of a single-family residence, followed by a large apartment complex. To the East, a small market followed by the same large apartment complex. To the South and West is residential. The homes along Madrone are burdened by overflow parking from the market and apartment complex. They cannot absorb the overflow parking that would be generated by a dispensary.

NO to the dispensary. Thank you.

Vicki Baseheart
15241 Marty Drive
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Subject: Dispensary - Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Reference: Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

I am opposed to the proposed cannabis dispensary for the following reasons:

Safety of our residential neighborhood. The required security and lighting indicate this use is not appropriate for our neighborhood.

The property is immediately adjacent to an occupied single-family home and with the exception of the Rancho Market & Deli immediately to the East, is surrounded by apartments and homes.

New dispensaries are opening in more appropriate areas. For those who live here and don't want to drive, delivery services are available. Sonoma will soon have a dispensary, possibly two. This detail was not included in the January 4, 2021 Addendum to the Traffic Impact Study which stated "As there are currently no dispensaries in or near the City of Sonoma, customers from the lower Sonoma Valley, including the City of Sonoma, would need to drive a substantially shorter distance to reach a dispensary with the proposed project than is currently the case." Overlooking the upcoming Sonoma dispensaries was a self-serving omission.

The parking is inadequate and there is no overflow available.

The dispensary will have a negative impact on our property values.
Consider where dispensaries are already open in Sonoma County—NONE are in a primarily residential area.

The applicant should be instructed to find a more appropriate location. We should not pay the price for the applicants’ poor site selection.

Sharon Church
15241 Marty Drive
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Good Day Crystal,

My name is Cathy Dougherty. My home address is 924 Caton Ct, Sonoma CA 95476-3261. I’ve lived in my home since Oct of 1997.

I’m writing to strongly object to the approval of the subject request. While the notice I received states, “The IS/ND did not find significant potential environmental impacts...”, the location of the proposed “dispensary” is of major concern to me. Its’ location is too close to the dense surrounding residential properties. Many of the homes are occupied by families with young and adolescent aged children. These type of commercial facilities are best approved only in predominantly industrial locations.

Sincerely,

Cathy Dougherty
EXTERNAL

I totally support dispensaries (definitely plural) in Sonoma, however, there needs to be a place to smoke! No legal place to smoke in this town! It’s ridiculous! Wish we had a proper Amsterdam style cafe! Barring that, a circle in the sand would do!
Sara Nicholls
Outlaw til I die probably!

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Dear Ms Acker,

I’m writing to express my opinion that a cannabis dispensary at 15499 Arnold Drive is a horrible location including but not limited to the following reasons.

1 – the location is adjacent two large apartment complexes containing numerous families with young children.
2 – the location is adjacent a large housing tract containing numerous families with young children.
3 – the location is on one of the two major roads, Arnold Drive, traversing Sonoma Valley (the other, Highway 12, runs parallel). Both heavily travelled.
4 – the location borders one of the major connecting roads (Madrone Road) between Highway 12 and Arnold Drive. Heavily travelled.
5 – there is no on street parking.
6 – the attached parking lot is too small.
7 – making a left turn from the parking lot goes immediately into a 4 way stop.
8 – I believe the hours of operation are way to long and an imposition on the neighborhoods.
9 – driving on Highway 12 passing an existing cannabis grow on the Gordenker property the frequent odor of cannabis is pervasive and obnoxious even from inside one’s own vehicle. I fear our neighborhood will be subjected to the same stench.

Although I support the legal right to permit cannabis dispensaries this is definitely not the appropriate place for one.

Respectfully,
Don Van Staaveren
Crystal Acker,

Of the many reasons to oppose a dispensary on the corner of Madrone and Arnold:

1) TRAFFIC: the increased traffic will make that intersection even more dangerous

2) PARKING: inadequate parking; customers will have to park on the street where residents currently park

3) CRIME: already a problem around the multifamily housing there; the cash nature of the business will increase crime; it will become a regional magnet for drug users;

4) SCHOOL DROP-OFF: the high school drops kids off right in front of the business

So many better locations throughout the area!

Richard Philips
15540 Brookview Drive
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

**First**, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a **792% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips** increase from 4 to 21, a **525% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips** increase from 6 to 42, a **700% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips** increase from 2 to 70, an **unheard of 3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

**Second**, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within **100 feet of the proposed dispensary**, No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store...
in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk
directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and
clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the
2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now
defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical
equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County
standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope
between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The
“Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed
and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as
allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but
one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary
is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code
incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the
facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining
1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary
application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County
Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the
Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:...” For a
Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-
accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1
additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street
parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”.
Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per
1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69%
under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking
requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous
families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this
application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which
are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing
a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and
hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Ricardo & Lisa Capretta
1200 Morningside Mountain Drive, Glen, CA 95442
Dear Ms. Acker,

As a homeowner across the street from the proposed cannabis dispensary at 15499 Arnold Drive in Glen Ellen, I am writing to lodge my strong belief that the scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a **792% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a **525% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a **700% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of **3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – **and not separated by a “public street”** - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in
between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires \(2 + 20 + 5 = 27\) spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Joseph M McCune
1400 Morningside Mountain Drive
Glen Ellen, Ca.
THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W­Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.  
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.  
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.  
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to
PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: ...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Paul and Liz Morrison
976 Glenwood Dr Sonoma CA 95476
Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firhouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

At the upcoming hearing on April 8, 2021, the Board of Zoning Adjustments should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the projected exponential increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a **792% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a **525% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a **700% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of **3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, there will be at least 12 cars per hour on a weekday trying to find parking spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available.

This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is inexcusable that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.**  

No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the
direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary site and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, nearly every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan, but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to calculate parking only based on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: …” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires \( 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 \) spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and the potential increase in crime issues.
Due to these major environmental and code violations, the Board of Adjustments and the PRMB should deny this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Brett Cooper
2000 Morningside Mountain Dr.
Glen Ellen, CA 95442
Dear Ms. Acker,

As owners of property near the proposed dispensary which is accessed directly from the intersection of Madrone & Arnold (up Morningside Mountain Road), we would like to strongly endorse Ricardo Capretta’s well articulated argument AGAINST a negative declaration concerning the establishment of a cannabis dispensary at the corner of Madrone and Arnold.

We have owned our property for 23 years and are very familiar with the character of the neighborhood which is residential and highly populated with families and children, making it particularly unsuited to the dangers of increased traffic, speeding vehicles, and potentially impaired individuals both driving and roaming the nearby community. We feel very strongly that this business belongs on Highway 12 within the more commercial setting between Agua Caliente to W. Spain St.

In addition to Ricardo’s points:

1. Cars looking to park, often in a hurry and forced to drive up and down streets off Madrone due to almost constant unavailability of spaces on Madrone will pose a dramatically increased danger to children running and playing in the neighborhood.

2. The intersection of Arnold and Madrone already has above average “rolling stops” which will assuredly increase several fold with the increase in traffic. (Police records will no doubt confirm these frequent rolling stops as there often is an officer present at our gate entrance to catch violators.) Also, since the firehouse parking is accessed from Arnold, when visitors discover it full they will increasingly execute illegal u-turns to return to the intersection and seek parking in the residential community down Madrone. Additionally, drivers will be tempted and likely decide to park in the entrance to Morningside Mountain Drive creating a nuisance and hazard for residents entering/exiting from their homes.

3. Cars on a regular basis exceed the speed limit on Madrone coming from Highway 12, ignoring the limit reduction at the bridge. This is likely to increase substantially, creating the potential for traffic accidents and raising the danger to pedestrians, cyclists and people entering/exiting their cars along this stretch of road.

While there may be legitimate need for this business in the broader area, this is a particularly poor location for an adult-only drug dispensary that will instill fear in the local community, and unfortunately also be an enticement to the many low-income families living on Madrone who can ill afford to be spending money on psychoactive drugs, potentially creating disharmony in families and between households within the community. Children especially are likely to bear a disproportionate risk to all the downsides that introducing this business into
this residential community will bring.

Thank you for your careful consideration and the burden of responsibility you shoulder in making this important decision.

Regards,

Robert and Sharon Duste
3350 Vigilante Road
Glen Ellen, CA  95442

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ricardo Capretta <rcapretta@capretta.com>
Subject: Loe Firehouse Dispensary

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.
Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re:  LoE Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

**First**, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a **792% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a **525% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a **700% increase**.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of **3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

**Second**, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not...
separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f(6) and f(8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: ....” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires \(2 + 20 + 5 = 27\) spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name
Address
Steve and Valerie Arelt
Are opposed to a Marijuana Dispensary in our residential neighborhood.
With thanks
1400 Heaven Hill Road

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
EXTERNAL

I am writing this email in support of the dispensary at the Madrone Arnold intersection. It’s been far too long with this valley has been underserved by this medical necessity and this location is perfect. I have visited dispensaries around the county and they are always clean, well kept, and safe. More so than any liquor store, tasting room or grocery store even.

Jeni Linfante
Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
March 16, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

**First**, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.  
- **Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips** increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.  
- **Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips** increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.  
- **Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips** increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

**Second**, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two
1. **100 Foot Residential Setback.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **Insufficient Parking.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking: All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires $2 + 20 + 5 = 27$ spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating
this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

- Sincerely,

Charles Pohl

77 Oso Trail
Glen Ellen

Charles F. Pohl
Dodge & Cox
555 California Street | 40th floor | San Francisco, CA 94104
415-981-1710 T | 415-986-2924 F

Charles.Pohl@dodgeandcox.com
www.dodgeandcox.com

Please follow the hyperlink to important disclosures.
https://www.dodgeandcox.com/disclosures/email_disclosure_funds.html

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hon. Gorin and Ms. Acker: I was unable to locate the permit application number for this particular filing but it is my understanding that it is *tentatively* scheduled for an April 8 Board of Zoning Adjustments hearing.

I wish to address the main point of contention and that is the neighborhood location for this medical dispensary. Although I do not know the details about how the Sonoma County Sheriff Department determines patrol routes, it is my experience that these routes are planned to provide increased surveillance at cannabis locations. This additional law enforcement activity would enhance safety at such businesses. This particular location would be closed on Sundays, which would be beneficial for traffic and safety concerns in the surrounding neighborhoods.

As an aside, It would not be surprising to learn that medical dispensaries would have a different customer demographic than recreational cannabis businesses. One of my favorite questions to ask is "what is the average age of a marijuana customer?" It is older than one would think - it was 46 at one time in Colorado. Many folks say 25!

My comments are based on my experience as a member of a Board of Education in Boulder County, Colorado, from 2009 to 2017. During that tenure, I held a number of state-wide legislative and school board association advocacy roles. I saw the introduction of the cannabis industry up close as a member of the Colorado Department of Public Safety's School Safety Resource Center's Advisory Board which reported to the Governor. The small town we lived in faced similar neighborhood concerns when a dispensary application was filed and later approved.

Thank you for your careful consideration of the many factors affecting the outcome of this permit application.
Debbie Lammers

**Debbie & Chris Lammers**  
**303.946.0431**  
[dlammers303@gmail.com](mailto:dlammers303@gmail.com)  
**12400 Henno Road**  
**Glen Ellen CA 95442-9490**

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.  
**Warning:** If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected,  
do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Ron,

On behalf of Supervisor Gorin, thank you for writing. This project was reviewed by the Sonoma Valley Citizen’s Advisory Commission in May 2018. SVCAC voted to reject this application. It is now moving on to the Board of Zoning Adjustments (BZA).

My suggestion is to submit your comment to the planner for this project, which according to the attached Notice is Crystal Acker: Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org. I am including her on this message. You can also attend the meeting virtually where this is to be heard, which is tentatively scheduled for April 8th. The agenda is not up yet, but when it is it will be available here: https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Board-of-Zoning-Adjustments/.

If the decision of the BZA is appealed, this will come before the Board of Supervisors and Supervisor Gorin will be one of five folks to make the final decision on this project. Until that point, she needs to remained unbiased in her opinions.

Best,

Arielle Kubu-Jones
District Co-Director
Supervisor Susan Gorin
First Supervisorial District
County of Sonoma
575 Administration Drive, Room 100A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
707.565.2241
arielle.kubu-jones@sonoma-county.org

For what’s open in Sonoma County, industry best management plans and more, visit SoCoLaunch

DID YOU KNOW you can submit a service request for trash pick up, potholes, vegetation maintenance, and more online? There’s a site/app for that! Click the image below, or download the free SoCo Report It app on your device’s app store.

First District Municipal Advisory Councils
Dear Ms. Gorin;

I’m writing you about the proposed cannabis dispensary at the old fire station on Madrone Road @ Arnold Dr.

My wife, and I have lived at 15428 Marty Drive since we bought our home in March 1994. Next week, will be 27 years for us, living here in this neighborhood.

We can assure you that a marijuana dispensary is not a good idea. We have enough “riff-raff”, or undesirable individuals that come through our neighborhood as it is, with the two apartment complexes both on Madrone Road, and Marty Drive.

As with most neighborhoods, we’ve seen firsthand the decline in our neighborhood over the years. The last thing that we need is a pot store to attract more undesirable folks into this neighborhood.

Please consider that there are about 100 homes, besides the two apartment complexes that have approximately 60 units combined. Each of these units are generally over-occupied, with several occupants in one & two bedroom apartment units, evidenced by the constant over crowded off-site
street parking.

The homes, and apartments units, have many children of school age, most who ride the school bus to school (before COVID), and would be exposed to the solicitors of this pot store, once COVID is lifted, and school returns back to normal.

Please do not allow a pot store in our neighborhood. It would be better suited out on Hwy 116/121 where there is more open space, and less impact on a residential neighborhood.

Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns, and thank you in advance for your cooperation into this matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Ekas & Susan Nord-Ekas

Sender's Name: Ron Ekas
Sender's Email: rekas@vom.com
Sender's Home Phone: 7079390859
Sender's Cell Phone: 7078890342
Sender's Work Phone: 7078890342
Sender's Address:
15428 Marty Dr.
Glen Ellen, CA 95442
Hello Crystal,
My main concern is having cannabis for purchase in an area that is wooded...thus Fire House in the past.
Thank you Crystal,
Mary Ann Athearn

Sent from my iPad
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403  

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, **a 792% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips** increase from 4 to 21, **a 525% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips** increase from 6 to 42, **a 700% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips** increase from 2 to 70, **an unheard of 3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – **and not**
separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f(6) and f(8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:....” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name
Address
Hi Crystal and Susan,

Writing to say I am very much in support of building the Madrone Dispensary. If there is a more formal way to show support please let me know.

Thank you,
Laura Friese
(415) 722-6917
3400 Castle Road, Sonoma, 95476

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
March 16, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.
Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to
PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – **and not separated by a “public street”** - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. **The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility.** The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: ...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. **It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”**. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. **Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces.** That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

**These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.**

Sincerely,

Mary McConnell
957 Glenwood Drive
Sonoma 95476

Please help to keep this a Family/Children friendly neighborhood.

Thankyou
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

**First**, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, **a 792% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips** increase from 4 to 21, **a 525% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips** increase from 6 to 42, **a 700% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips** increase from 2 to 70, **an unheard of 3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

**Second**, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to
PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. **The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility.** The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space — which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: …” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. **Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces.** That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces — **therefore it is 69% under parked.** The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

**These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately.** This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is **IN OPPOSITION** to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name

Address
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.
Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

**First,** the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, **a 792% increase.**
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, **a 525% increase.**
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, **a 700% increase.**
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, **an unheard of 3500% increase.**

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

**Second,** the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to
PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a “public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”. There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires \( 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 \) spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Name 15232 Arnold Dr.
Address 2124 Ellen, Ca 95442
March 22, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re:  Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons. We have been informed of the following, and state:

**First.** the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- **Daily Trips** for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a **792% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips** increase from 4 to 21, a **525% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips** increase from 6 to 42, a **700% increase**.
- **Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips** increase from 2 to 70, **an unheard of 3500% increase**.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

**Second.** the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a “physical separation” exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to
PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. **The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility.** The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. **It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area.”**

There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. **Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces.** That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

**These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.**

Sincerely,

Carolyn Collins and Mark Pallis
16557 Arnold Drive, Sonoma CA 95746
Crystal Acker, Project Planner,
County of Sonoma
Dear Ms Acker,

While addressing this mail to you, my actual intended recipient audience is that of the County of Sonoma Supervisors and other Sonoma County property owners. While I do not know the detailed history of this building, clearly at some date it was approved and built as a fire station by the public sector. Then, presumably that use was abandoned and the property was conveyed into the private sector. It is obvious the structure has been a very inefficient office building much of the last 10 years, and then vacant the last two. Since it was a special purpose building from inception, in a prominent location and adjacent to a small grocery store, and clearly one without residential potential, the question of whether there would ever be an acceptable commercial use has repeatedly crossed my mind as I have driven by.

Two years ago by chance I met the current owner of the building, and learned of his proposed commercial use. Last year I asked for a tour of the property, which he provided; its proposed use as a retail cannabis dispensary struck me as ideal for this unusual building. Since it is now in the final stages of a public approval process, I have reached my own conclusion: if the building is not approved for this use, what other viable commercial option is there? Will it stand vacant for more years to come, held hostage by a few neighbors at no cost to them but the occasional caustic letter or personal outcry against any reasonable commercial use? This strikes me as an exceedingly unfair policy for all Sonoma County property owners, prospective cannabis customers in the Glen Ellen area and the current owner especially. I also understand anticipated retail traffic will not be a problem and based on County Sheriff logs, licensed dispensaries do not cause crime.

For these reasons, and advocating fairness by the public sector in regulating the private sector, my wife and I support an approval of its proposed use.

Dave Jefferson
Kenwood, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
March 15, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.  
Planner III  
County of Sonoma  
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re:  Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen  
Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. 100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that “a public street” represents physical separation. A “public street” is actually the direct opposite of “physical separation” – it is “public access”. When it was pointed out to
PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a “public street” - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented “physical separation” even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f(6) and f(8): “Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope.” That is now the County standard for the term “physical separation”. There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. **The “Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019” memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.**

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. **The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility.** The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: “Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:...” For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is “2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces”. **It clearly says parking must be calculated on the “gross floor area”.** There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. **Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces.** That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

**These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.**

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Name
Address

15522 Maplewood Dr.
Sonoma, CA 95476
Dear Crystal,

For the past 32 years my wife and I have lived 2 minutes away from the proposed cannabis dispensary. When the vote to legalize cannabis was on the ballot, we felt that this might be an opportunity to help shut down the trafficking of drugs across our borders and we voted in its favor. Little did we think that our quiet neighborhood would become a center of those that frequent this kind of facility. No question there are many who use cannabis for medical causes, including us at times. There is no question that there are also many less desirable patrons that would enter our neighborhood as they would if it were a local pub. I continue to refer to this as a neighborhood. With the exception of a tiny food market, there is not a commercial business within a few miles of the proposed site.

I favor the placement of a dispensary in a shopping center or a strip mall as they are designed for traffic, parking, and all that goes with a business community. This is not a business community. It is my home and we strongly oppose the acceptance of anything that would diminish or destroy what we have enjoyed all these years.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

**Steve Rosenblatt**
1741 Morningside Mountain Road
Glen Ellen, CA 95442
707-529-9811

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. **Warning:** If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hi Crystal,

We have more than 100 property owners (see the attached protest letters) in our neighborhood that are adamantly against this type of use in a 99% residential neighborhood. This site is only 57 feet away from a large apartment complex, where dozens of kids go to the Madrone Market every day - directly bordering the proposed Cannabis Dispensary. They are walking down a sidewalk to get to the market and can stay on the same sidewalk to access the proposed Dispensary, just feet away. In addition, the transit bus stop and the school bus stop is at the dispensary, so kids will walk by the front door of this proposed dispensary site every day. There are reasons why these sites are to maintain distances from schools, parks and residential facilities, and this site is in direct conflict with why these municipal codes have been written.

This proposed use is unacceptable in a very densely populated residential neighborhood and this use must be stopped. Please understand that the municipal code was written for a reason and must be followed to protect the residents of our residential neighborhood. These facilities need to be in commercial locations away from people’s homes and families.

This is just one of the reasons why all these residents have signed a petition to protest this proposed use in our residential neighborhood. Please file these petitions (protest letters) with the rest of the protest letters against UPC17-0094.

Thank you,

28 year resident of this neighborhood
Paul and Liz Morrison
976 Glenwood Dr
707-337-1106
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Jerald Tieneney

Print Name

15236 Arnold Rd.

Address

12/23/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

________________________________________

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD…”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

*Julienne M. Michelena*

Sign Name

*Julienne M. Michelena*

Print Name

15230 Arnold DR  Glen Ellen  CA  95442

Address

12-23-18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name
Dominic Nuccio
Print Name
15170 Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen
Address
Date 12/23/2018.
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Emily Robledo

Print Name

Address

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

Oswaldo Robledo

Print Name

937 Sonoma Glen Circle

Address

7/23/2018

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Laurie E. Pile

951 Sonoma Glen Circle, Glen Ellen 95442

11-9-18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

---

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Mary Katherine Dreyer

Mary Katherine Dreyer

974 Sonoma Glencir, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

12-23-18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Judy Smalley

Print Name

Address

15232 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Date

12-27-18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

R Smalley

Ron Smalley

15232 Arnold Dr Glen Ellen CA

12/27/18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Buch Pasquini

1052 Sonoma Glen Circle, Glen Ellen CA 95442

Date

12/2/19
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Johanne Banm

Print Name

1024 Sonoma Glen Circle Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

12/19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Christian Faustino

[Print Name]

1000 Sonoma Glen Car Glen Ellen, CA 752142

[Address]

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]
Tony Miller

[Print Name]

[Address]
999 Sonoma Glen Cir

[Date]
1-2-19
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

[Print Name]

[Address]

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]
Sign Name

[Print Name]
Print Name

[Address]
Address

[Date]
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD…”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

GORDON MORTENSEN

949 CATON COURT, SONOMA

1/1/19

Regardless of whether the applicant is spreading false information to the Community, I am opposed to the dispensary being located near our neighborhood. See tours starred above (★).
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Cristine Mortensen

Sign Name

Print Name

Address

Date

These are my main objections
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

T. Barrie

Sign Name

Trevor R Barrie

Print Name

998 Glenwood Dr.

Address

12-29-18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

[Signature]

Print Name

[Print Name]

Address

[Address]

Date

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signatures]

Sign Name

Print Name

Address

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County Laws and Ordinance 6180 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD." These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- - This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. **This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.**
- - This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. **This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.**
- - This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. **This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.**
- - This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. **This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.**

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Signature]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothecart Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including L.C zoning setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meet all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothecart Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Dave Palmgren

[Signature]

Print Name

964 Glenwood Drive Sonoma CA

Address

11/6/18

Date

95476
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

[Print Name]

[Address]

[Date]

11/29/2018
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 0189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary could increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

Karen Litzenberg

Print Name

917 Glenwood Drive

Address

11/8/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet:

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Mary McConnell
Sign Name

Mary McConnell
Print Name

957 Glenwood Dr, Sonoma 95476
Address

11/8/2015
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Karla Noyes

Print Name

15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, 95476

Address

1/6/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Mark T. Pallis

Sign Name

MARK T. PALLIS

Print Name

16557 Arnold Dr
Sonoma, CA 95476

Address

Nov 29, 2018

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Carolyn Collins

[Print Name]

16557 Arnold Dr
Sonoma, CA 95476

[Address]

30 Nov 2018

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Print Name

Address

Date

12/3/18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

Tom Cannard

Print Name

[Address]

Address

12-9-18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Dr. Lorena M. Reinhardt

Sign Name

Dr. Lorena M. Reinhardt

Print Name

13790 Williams Road, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

November 27, 2018

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. **This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.**

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. **This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.**

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. **This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.**

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. **This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.**

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Timothy C. McIntyre  Diane McIntyre

Sign Name

Timothy C. McIntyre  DIANE MCINTYRE

Print Name

15522 Maplewood Dr.  Sonoma, CA  95476

Address

12/28/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]
Sign Name

[Signature]
Print Name

15522 Maplewood Dr. Sonoma, CA 95476
Address

12/25/18
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Judy Condon
Sign Name

Print Name

Address

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

---

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name
OWEN EDWARDS

Print Name
830 MADRONE RD.

Address
12/29/18
The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6180 including the zoning setbacks." As such, at the Board of Zoning Adjustment meeting on August 13, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD." These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - it violates the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary would not be a proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Brenda Buckersfield
Sign Name

Brenda Buckersfield
Print Name

1000 Morningside Mt. Rd.
Address

11/10/18
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6180 including Zoning, setbacks, etc. The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 91% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Thomas Mensing

Print Name

1000 Morningside Mt. Rd

Address

Date 11/10/15
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name
LISA DIETTE

Print Name
1234 MORNINGSIDE MOUNTAIN DR GE 95443

Address
11/5/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

Susan Costello

Print Name

1407 Morningside Rd. Glen Ellen 95442

Address

Nov 4, 2018

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Mark Davidson

Print Name

1407 Naramore Drive, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

Date

11/3/18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Margo Van Staaveren

Sign Name

Margo Van Staaveren

Print Name

1877 Morningside Mtn. Drive, Glen Ellen

Address

11/6/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Don Van Staaveren

Print Name

1877 Morningside Mountain Road

Address

11/6/2018

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is undersized by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Geradine R. Brinton

Print Name

2600 Morningside Mt. Dr

Address

11.7.18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Stephen H. Sherer

Elizabeth B. Sherer

Sign Name  Stephen H. Sherer

Elizabeth B. Sherer

Print Name

1750 Morningside Mt., Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

1/2/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Print Name

Address

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Julie Oleson

Julie Oleson

1700 Morningside Mtn., Glen Ellen

Nov. 3, 2018
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Robert Duste

Print Name

3350 Morningside Mountain, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

11/2/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

________________________________________
I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sharon Duste

[Print Name]

3350 Morningside Mountain, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

[Address]

[Date]

11/2/18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

_____________________________

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

________________________________________
Sign Name

VICTOR L. REUS, M.D.

Print Name

3585 ROWINGSIDE ST. DR. GLEN ELLEN, CA

Address

11/1/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

STEPHEN ROSENBLATT

Print Name

1741 MORNINGSIDE MOUNTAIN ROAD GLEN ELLEN CA

Address

11/11/2018

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

 Barry Swain
Sign Name

Barry Swain
Print Name

3475 Vigilante Rd, Glen Ellen 95442
Address

10-2-18
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD…”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Kate Eagles

Sign Name

Kate Eagles

Print Name

983 Glenwood Dr, Sonoma, CA 95476

Address

1-1-2019

Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>Last name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joeseph</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>King</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed project is located in the heart of the city, providing easy access to public transportation and nearby parks. The site is zoned for mixed-use development, allowing for a mix of residential, commercial, and cultural uses. The project will include a 15-story mixed-use building featuring 200 residential units, 10,000 square feet of retail space, and 200 parking spaces. The building is designed to be LEED Gold certified, incorporating energy-efficient features to reduce environmental impact. The project is expected to boost the local economy by creating approximately 500 jobs during construction and 200 permanent jobs post-construction. The project is anticipated to be completed in 2025, adding to the city's vibrant urban landscape and enhancing the live-work-play experience for residents and visitors alike.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot Number</th>
<th>Plot Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plot location is based on a grid system, with each plot numbered accordingly.

For further assistance, please contact the plot manager at [contact information].
We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION, for the following reasons:

1) The location is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood, including a school bus stop.
2) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispenser's customers (both medical and recreational users) in and out of the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 
3) There is inadequate parking on the street, employees and customers will be forced to park on the street.
4) We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Cornin.

Please return petition signatures to Karen Loye, 15492 Brookeview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476
We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION.

Perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

We do not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin.

To: The Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Macdonel & Arnold!

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address Please</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Munro</td>
<td>John M (18G) @gmail.com</td>
<td>95446</td>
<td>(Signature)</td>
<td>(Full Address Please)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please print
We, the undersigned, recommend that the council of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION. We believe the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arildi

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arildi
We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION peraps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name: [Signature]

Email: [Please print]

No. of Employees: [Please print]

Zip Code: [Please print]

Full Address: [Please print]

City: [Please print]

County: [Please print]

Name: [Please print]

Address: [Please print]

City: [Please print]

County: [Please print]

Zip Code: [Please print]

We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnoldi.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Doe</td>
<td>John Doe Signature</td>
<td>123 Main St, Anytown, CA 90210</td>
<td>555-1234</td>
<td><a href="mailto:john.doe@email.com">john.doe@email.com</a></td>
<td>90210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Smith</td>
<td>Jane Smith Signature</td>
<td>456 Elm St, Anytown, CA 90210</td>
<td>555-5678</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jane.smith@email.com">jane.smith@email.com</a></td>
<td>90210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION, perhaps the

 industrially-zoned area, which has no residents nearby, readily traffic and plenty of parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION, perhaps the

 industrially-zoned area, which has no residents nearby, readily traffic and plenty of parking.

The proposed marijuana dispensary is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madonna & Arno is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business.

TO THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SURF. SUSAN GORN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madonna & Arno!
No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name
Please print
Email
Please print
Zip Code
No Email?
Full Address
Please
Signature
Tick to receive info*

Donald Becker
dlboehl32@gmail.com
95476

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

Published by

--

Updated automatically every 5 minutes
We do not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gordon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Philip B. George</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>Phil George Rd., P.O. Box 1234, Napa, CA 94559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Emery</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>3456 Main St., Napa, CA 94559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Hanson</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>1234 Oak Rd., Napa, CA 94559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of the business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION which the industry-zoned area on 5th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

We understand that the owner of the business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. The disruption would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. The location is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street. The increased customer access to the street would make an already dangerous intersection more dangerous. The proposed marijuana dispensary is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby. Including a school bus stop.

The proposed marijuana dispensary is not appropriate in the old fire house at the corner of Marine & Arnold is a TERMIBLY LOCATION for this retail business.

To: The Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gordon.
No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>No Email? Full Address Please</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Tick to receive info*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christi Vieira de Curiel</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cvieiradecuriel@gmail.com">cvieiradecuriel@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>95442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith A. Jessop</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ja.jessop3@gmail.com">ja.jessop3@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>95442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mano Curiel</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marocs2@hotmail.com">marocs2@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>95442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

Published by Google Drive – Report Abuse – Updated automatically every 5 minutes
We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION per the industry-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residential nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

We understand that the owner of this business has no residential nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address Please</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.L. Mich</td>
<td>Jennifer Brunelle</td>
<td>95494</td>
<td>Jennifer Brunelle</td>
<td>Jennifer <a href="mailto:Brunelle@live.com">Brunelle@live.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Zeller</td>
<td>Brownfoote @ Yahoo.com</td>
<td>95494</td>
<td>Brownfoote</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Brownfoote@yahoo.com">Brownfoote@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old fire house at the corner of Madeira & Arnold is a PERMISSIBLE LOCATION for the retail business.

To: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUPER, SUSAN GORN
We recommend repositioning the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION parallel to the street. We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION parallel to the street.

1. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old firehouse at the corner of MacKenzie & Arnold is a TERIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for the following reasons:
   - The street has numerous vehicles including a school bus, truck stops, etc.
   - The street has numerous pedestrians including children.
   - The street is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood.

2. The location at [insert location] is a safe, quiet, and residential area.

Please see map attached.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SURF. SUSAN GORIN

No to a Proposed marijuana dispensary at MacKenzie & Arnold.
We have not been able to see the Sonoma Valley Advisory Citizens Commission and Supervisor Susan Corn.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Full Address Please</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nila Pence</td>
<td>Ghalco.com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>159-2, Maplewood Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to another location. The industrial-zone area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

We would defer apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. The additional traffic at the intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overhead parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street. Addressing residents' needs at this proposed location is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

The proposed dispensary is located in the old fire house at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for the reasons:

1) The site of the building is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

2) The additional traffic at the intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overhead parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous.

3) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SVP SUSAN GORN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Address Please Code Zip</th>
<th>Please Print</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuzzy Pig</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5/26</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sue Eyot</strong></td>
<td><strong>9/77</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dearie Bille</strong></td>
<td><strong>6/97</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recognize that the owner of this business releases the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION per the industrially-zoned area on Hill Street East which has no residents nearby, relative to the traffic and plenty of parking.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Macdonald & Arnold!
To: The Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Sup. Susan Corn

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madonna 8 Arnold.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business recede the proposed business to another location. Perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby is likely the traffic and plenty of parking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Please print Email</th>
<th>No Email</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address Please</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Durbach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Bland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old fire house at the corner of Madonna & Arnold is a terrible location for this retail business for the following reasons:

1. The area of Madonna is not applicable in a residential neighborhood with athletes nearby. Inducing a school bus stop.
2. The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madonna Market delivery truck and customers, and the coming and going of the discount store customers would deprive the commercial residents of much needed off-street parking.

Please remove signatures to 15554B Provost Drive, Sonoma, CA 95476.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alice Miller</td>
<td>95472 4058 9278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael McEnroe</td>
<td>95472 5418</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td>95472 1234 5678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Johnson</td>
<td>95472 8765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION since the industrially-zoned area on the street front which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old fire house at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for the following reasons:

1. The retail business is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby. Including a school bus stop.
2. The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market delivery truck and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into the parking lot and their overflow parking on the street would make an already hazardous intersection much more dangerous. There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!
We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business consider the proposed location.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Doe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby. The location is relatively free of traffic and plenty of parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby. The location is relatively free of traffic and plenty of parking.

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Armold.
TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUPERVISOR SUSAN GORN

No to proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business seek the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION per North California Avenue and S. State Street; there is already a marijuana dispensary in this area.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business seek the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION per North California Avenue and S. State Street; there is already a marijuana dispensary in this area.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business seek the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION per North California Avenue and S. State Street; there is already a marijuana dispensary in this area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address Please</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Please print</th>
<th>Email Please print</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Josh Ritzman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colette Meade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Ritter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Corr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Bold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the above or this business relocate the proposed business to another location. Emphasize the industrially-zoned area on St. George East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

We understand that the opening of this business will attract local and regional visitors. It will encourage tourism, increase the local economy, and provide a much-needed service for the community.

The proposed marijuana dispensary is not appropriate for a residential neighborhood with child-friendly aspects. Including a school bus stop.

The proposed marijuana dispensary is not appropriate for a residential neighborhood with child-friendly aspects. Including a school bus stop.

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Address Please</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lucia Goodman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Hersh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Hersh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Hersh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurie Hersh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Hersh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are under the impression that the owner of this business relocates the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION as follows:

1) The existing marijuana dispensary in the old firehouse at the corner of Madison & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business.
2) The additional parking at that location including the Madison Market delivery truck and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their parking lot on the street would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street.

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUSAN GORN

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madison & Arnold!
industrialized zone on all street East which has no residents nearby, relatively flat traffic and plenty of parking. We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Full Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rick H.</td>
<td>Richard@com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>962 Greenmoor Dr, Sonoma, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn H.</td>
<td>Shawn@com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>962 Greenmoor Dr, Sonoma, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve L.</td>
<td>Steve@com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>62 Greenmoor Rd, Sonoma, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John R.</td>
<td>John@com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>962 Greenmoor Dr, Sonoma, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen S.</td>
<td>Karen@com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>962 Greenmoor Dr, Sonoma, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin F.</td>
<td>Kevin@com</td>
<td>95476</td>
<td>962 Greenmoor Dr, Sonoma, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the

To: The Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Sup. Susan Corn

No to proposed marijuana dispensary atMadrone & Arnold!
We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Corn.

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15545 Enedavia Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Address</th>
<th>Full Address Please</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95412 Madison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13200 Etna Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95472 Glen Ellen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/7 Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13200 Etna Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12300 Etna Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to another location.

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old fire house at the corner of Madison and Arnold is a terrible location for this retail business.

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madison & Arnold.
We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old fire house at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for the following reasons:
1. The use of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.
2. The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market, delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary’s customers (both medicinal and recreational users) in their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3. There is inadequate parking on the street. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street.

We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Full Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Olson</td>
<td>scott Olson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Olson</td>
<td>scott Olson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Rodriguez</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jrodriguez@ruri.com">jrodriguez@ruri.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Jackson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fredjackson@ruri.com">fredjackson@ruri.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Lewis</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mlewis@ruri.com">mlewis@ruri.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Johnson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ljohnson@ruri.com">ljohnson@ruri.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Smith</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ssmith@ruri.com">ssmith@ruri.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please print on the back. We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin.

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15529 Riverview Dr., Sonoma CA 95476
THE BOTTOM LINE
The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]
James Varnes

Sign Name
[Print Name]
James Varnes

Print Name

[Address]
1516 Martha Dr, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

[Date]
12/1/16

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

▶ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

▶ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

▶ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

▶ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Sharon L. Church

Print Name

15241 Marty Dr, Glen Ellen

Address

11/9/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name
vicki Basheasant

Print Name
15241 Marty Dr, Glen Ellen, CA 95447

Address

Date
11/10/18
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

Meredith Marx

Print Name

820 Cecelia Dr. Glen Ellen CA 95442

Address

01/10/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Linda L. Ingles

Signature

Print Name

15116 Marty Dr.

Address

12/13/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Kanyl Carter

Sign Name

Print Name

833 Marty Drive, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

12/22/18

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

___
Sign Name

Isaac Carter
Print Name

833 Marty Drive, Glen Ellen, CA 95442
Address

12-22-18
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Print Name
Darren W. Goodman

Address
15399 Thomas St., Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Date
1-10-19
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

15394, Thomas Street, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442

Address

1/10/19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD…”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Karen Goodman

Sign Name

Karen Goodman

Print Name

825 Madrone Road Glen Ellen, CA

Address

1-3-19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name
Don W. Goodman

Print Name
P.O. Box 38 Eldredge, CA 95431

Address
1/9/19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

[Signature]

Print Name

[Print Signature]

Address

[Address]

Date

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Leslie Vaughn

Sign Name

Leslie Vaughn

Print Name

PO Box 2046 Glen Ellen CA 95442

Address

1/7/19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Joyce Clover

Sign Name

JOYCE CLOVER

Print Name

248 SYLVIA DRIVE GLEN ELLEN

Address

1-7-19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

ellen b. mcknight
Sign Name

ellen b. mcknight
Print Name

1320 Hill Rd Glen Ellen CA 95442
Address

1/7/2019
Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Barbara Chatham

[Print Name]

15244 Arnold Dr, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

1-5-19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Wanda Smith

Sign Name

Wanda Smith

Print Name

6853 Oak Leaf Dr., Santa Rosa

Address

1/7/19

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD…”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➢ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➢ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➢ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➢ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Kevin Gilbert

Sign Name

Settlement 2 O’Donnell Lane, Glen Ellen, CA

Print Name

Address

1/7/2019

Date
THE BOTTOM LINE
The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: “Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks.” In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: “The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD...”

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.

➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.

➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood - this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

[Signature]

Sign Name

[Print Name]

Print Name

[Address]

Address

[Date]