

## F&E Tools Workgroup - Sept 6, 2024

### Outtakes from Sept 6 '24 Workgroup meeting

Don't round scoring sheet so decimals have to be dealt with, too confusing

#### Funding process & priorities discussion points:

- Tiered Scoring (must be grouped around differing project types)
  - Separate New and Renewing into different ranking buckets
  - Coalition Board allowing F&E to make recommendations on project type caps - should be done based on percentages of total funding available during the NOFA process
  - What happens to projects placed into a 'danger zone' who are serving PH clients?
  - F&E will make decisions about relative priorities and funding percentages by categories as a starting point noting that this may cause replacements to legacy funded projects
  - Priority order 1) Project Type 2) Agency
  - Factors in the CoC scoring process differ in that CoC funding (at this point) is all PSH so really no system analysis needed to keep that going, but could further benefit from the All Home analysis
- Staff analysis to come with additional information - Spend down percent at Q3 and year-end, include contracted numbers to be served compared to year-end active people served
- Comparisons for contract to target numbers to be FYI only, no scoring since historical targeting will be impacted by revisions to the CES model
- Meeting with LEAB to request assistance in developing and completing project -level lived experience surveys to inform each project type at the Fall evaluations

### Outtakes from August '24 meetings

- Exits by Race - substitute % Exits returning in 12 mos. By Race - would need custom data pull HMIS Team and would not be by Project ID
- All should bump against number served in final contract
- Is 30% of the available points a sufficient amount for the non-recused members to feel they've had enough input to final decisions
- Balancing between different interventions

#### General Meeting Notes (August)

- NOFO priorities should be more clear about NOT funding projects like Prevention or upcoming interventions
- Focus on PSH and RRH as a priority
- F&E come up with their priorities and then present to the Coalition (this would need to be completed at the August meeting)

#### Process for Spring NOFO

1. Staff scores quantifiable performance elements, furthers to committee for review, questions & clarifications (quantitative data elements coming from HMIS compliance or custom data pulls)
2. Committee takes on scoring qualitative areas (30% of the overall score)
3. Staff scores quantifiable performance elements
4. Staff takes final ranking similar to the CoC funding process and funds per available resources

#### Order of Fall Evaluations

- September - PSH
  - October - RRH
  - November - Emergency Shelter
  - December (or January) - Street Outreach
- Evaluation meetings to focus primarily on measureable data, recommendations for what else to evaluate discussed at the F&E August 2024 meeting

**Scoring Draft: Street Outreach**

Revision Discussion for Sept 2024 meeting

Reference	Descriptor	Source	Additional	Points Assigned Street Outreach	Notes
<b>Performance Measures (Quantitative)</b>					
1b.	Percent engaged in Street Outreach Exiting to Permanent Destinations	APR 23c		12	base on subset of SO clients who actually 'enroll' in the HMIS
1c.	Percent placed into Interim Housing	APR Q23c - Temporary Situations		12	
1a.	Number Enrolled and Assessed by CES	CES Report (custom)		10	
Revised 1c.	CES Assessments by Race	CES Report (custom)		10	
New	Number Clients Engaged	APR Q9b	Clients who went on to commit to case planning	5	
3a.	Financial Audit (Findings/Mgmt Letter, Fiscal Health)	Compliance	Audit projects >\$2m, Financials >\$2m	5	
8.	HMIS Data Quality & Timeliness	APR Q6a-6e	HMIS Staff to complete	4	
New	Chronic Homeless Percent	APR Q5.10		3	
New	Veteran Percent	APR Q5.13		3	
New	Domestic Violence Percent	APR Q5.14b	Three months and under	3	
Revised 4.	Spend down of Funds	Compliance	Staff include spend down rate schedule at .75 of the contract year	3	
<b>Subtotal Performance Measures</b>				<b>70</b>	

<b>Infrastructure &amp; Management (Qualitative)</b>					
Revised 6.	Prof Development for Staff on Cultural Humility	Narrative		7	
Revised 6.	Board Composition	Narrative		5	
Revised 5.	Lived Experience Feedback	LEAP survey		5	
New	Case Planning Process (including example Case Plan format)	Case Planning Process Narrative	Identify which case planning tool/approach and how used	5	
New	Cost Per Positive Exit	R&R Tool HUD	Discuss September (see chart of Cost Per's)	5	
New	Collaboration/connetion with Healthcare Providers	Narrative		3	
<b>Subtotal Qualitative Measures</b>				<b>30</b>	

**Discussion Points:**

Amount setaside in the NOFA language to ensure regional coverage

Does project meet a documented geographic gap - we should look at PIT by city/town breakdown compared to last year

<b>Total Possible</b>	<b>100</b>
-----------------------	------------

**Scoring Draft: Emergency Shelter**

Revision Discussion for Sept 2024 meeting

				Points Assigned	Notes
Reference	Descriptor	Source	Additional	Street Outreach	
<b>Performance Measures (Quantitative)</b>					
1a.	Percent Exited to Perm Destinations	QPR Q23c	Focus on number who retained or obtained a lease	15	
New	Length of Time In Project	APR Q27k	compare to baselines	10	
1d.	Number Enrolled and Assessed by CES	CES Report (custom)		10	
Revised 1d.	HIC Utilization	2024 HIC and Year Round Calcs	Custom HMIS Analysis	5	
6.	Financial Audit (Findings/Mgmt Letter, Fiscal Health)	Compliance	Audit projects >\$2m, Financials >\$2m	5	
10.	HMIS Data Quality & Timeliness	APR Q6a-6e	HMIS Staff to complete	4	
New	Chronic Homeless Percent	APR Q5.10		8	
New	Veteran Percent	APR Q5.13		5	
New	Domestic Violence Percent	APR Q5.14b	Three months and under	3	
Revised	Spend down of Funds	Compliance	Staff include spend down rate in analysis	5	
<b>Subtotal Performance Measures</b>				<b>70</b>	
<b>Infrastructure &amp; Management (Qualitative)</b>					
Revised 9.	Prof Dev for Staff on Cultural Humility	Narrative		7	
Revised 9.	Board Composition	Narrative		5	
Revised 8.	Lived Experience Feedback	LEAP survey		5	
New	Case Planning Process (including example Case Plan format)	Case Planning Process Narrative	Identify which case planning tool/approach and how used	5	
New	Cost Per Positive Exit	R&R Tool HUD	Discuss September (see chart of Cost Per's)	5	
Revised 4.	Housing First	Narrative	Rely on narrative, not as much on tool	3	
<b>Subtotal Qualitative Measures</b>				<b>30</b>	
<b>Total Possible</b>				<b>100</b>	

**Scoring Draft: Rapid ReHousing**

Revision Discussion for Sept 2024 meeting

Reference	Descriptor	Source	Additional	Points Assigned	Notes
<b>Performance Measures (Quantitative)</b>					
Reference	Percent Exited to Perm Destination (Postive Exits)	APR Q23C (Total Exits/Postitive Exits)		10	APR positive exits/Total Exits
Reference	Adults increased both Earned and Other Income	APR Q18		6	
Revised	Days from Program Start to Housing Move-In Date	APR Q27a	Elements will be up for discussion by Committee since Days to Move In differs between Project and Tenant-Based RRH	6	RRH projects will have differing Days to Move-In Factors dependent on project-based v. site-based
New	Compliance with Rapid ReHousing ESG level standards	ESG Standards for RRH		5	Use a checklist system so the committee can understand the differences
New	Length of Time In Project	APR Q27k	compare to local baselines	5	
1b.	Percent Exit to Perm Returning in 12 months	Custom HMIS query		5	
3.	Percent Accessing Mainstream Resources at Exit	Q20b (1+ Sources)	Percent who gained at Exit, compared to Enrolled	5	
New	Increase in Health Insurance at Exit	APR Q21 (1 source of health insurance)		0	element removed 09.06.24 in favor of RRH projects adhering to ESG RRH standards
New	Exits by Race	APR Q23e		5	
Revised	Financial Audit (Findings/Mgmt Letter, Fiscal Health)	Compliance	Audit projects >\$2m, Financials >\$2m	5	
Revised	Spend down of Funds	Compliance	Staff include spend down rate in analysis	5	
Ref	HMIS Data Quality & Timeliness	Q6a-Q6e		4	
New	Chronic Homeless Percent	APR Q5.13		3	
New	Veteran Percent	APR Q5.13		3	
New	Domestic Violence Percent	APR Q5.14b		3	
<b>Subtotal Performance Measures</b>				<b>70</b>	

<b>Infrastructure &amp; Management (Qualitative)</b>					
Revised 10.	Prof Dev for Staff on Cultural Humility	Narrative		7	
Revised 10.	Board Composition	Narrative		5	
Revised 9.	Lived Experience Feedback	LEAP survey		5	
New	Case Planning Process (including example Case Plan format)	Case Planning Process Narrative	Identify which case planning tool/approach and how used	5	
New	Cost Per Positive Exit	R&R Tool HUD	Discuss September (see chart of Cost Per's) Don't use Housing First Tool, conceived of narrative questions	5	
Revised 4.	Housing First	Custom narrative		3	
<b>Subtotal Qualitative Measures</b>				<b>30</b>	

**Discussion Points:**

Days from Project Start to Move In should be considered by different program types

RRH program approaches differ, some will have a days to move in advantage because they are project-based, should we split the point by types or reward those that follow ESG regulations more closely  
May consider those that follow more closely to receive Bonus Points

Prioritize Tenant-based interventions, but consider what will happen if any RRH beds are unfunded (reducing PH beds in the system and clients exists from potential project closures)

<b>Total Possible</b>	<b>100</b>
-----------------------	------------

**Scoring Draft: Permanent Supportive Housing**

Revision Discussion for Sept 2024 meeting

Reference	Descriptor	Source	Additional	Points Assigned	Notes
<b>Performance Measures (Quantitative)</b>					
1a.	PSH Retention	APR Stayers Housed compared to PSH Exits)		15	
Revised?	PSH Referrals must come through CES	HST custom analysis	Yes/No only	10	Binary score, if you weren't placing from CES referrals
New	Exits to Permanent Destinations - DV Survivors	APR Q5.10	reconfigured to be proportional exits	5	Exits of DV Survivors to Perm are proportional to number to total number of DV survivors enrolled in program
Removed	Domestic Violence Percent Served	APR Q5.14b	removed 09.06.24	0	
New	Increased in Health Insurance	APR Q21 (1 source of health insurance)	Measure in percentage at Annual and Exit	5	Exclude negative exits from this calculation
Ref	Length of Time In Project	APR Q27k	compare to local baselines	5	
1b.	Percent Exit to Perm Returning in 12 months	HST custom analysis		5	
3.	Percent Accessing Mainstream Resources at Exit (Gain)	Q20b (1+ Sources)		5	
New	Exits by Race	APR Q23e		5	
8.	Financial Audit (Findings/Mgmt Letter, Fiscal Health)	Compliance	Audit projects >\$2m, Financials >\$2m	5	
Revised 9.	Spend down of Funds	Compliance	Staff include spend down rate in analysis	5	
13.	HMIS Data Quality & Timeliness	Q6a-Q6e		5	Consider adding adherence to Annual Assessments
	Removed Chronic and DV Survivors percent from this grid			0	
<b>Subtotal Performance Measures</b>				<b>70</b>	

<b>Infrastructure &amp; Management (Qualitative)</b>					
Revised 11.	Prof Dev for Staff on Cultural Humility	Narrative		7	
Revised 11.	Board Composition	Narrative		5	
Revised 10.	Lived Experience Feedback	LEAP survey		5	
New	Case Planning Process (including example Case Plan format)	Case Planning Process Narrative	Identify which case planning tool/approach and how used	5	
New	Cost Per Positive Exit	R&R Tool HUD	Discuss September (see chart of Cost Per's)	5	
Revised 4.	More narrative, less tool	Custom narrative	Don't use Housing First Tool, conceived of narrative questions	3	
<b>Subtotal Qualitative Measures</b>				<b>30</b>	

**Discussion Points:**

- Consider focusing on Youth and Seniors for the subpopulation priorities
- Consider data quality for missing Annual Assessments to add to D/Q factor
- Points for health insurance aren't as relevant after year 1 - can check for Annuals being done
- There will be a new CES process next year, so for 24-25 don't consider second CES scoring element
- Death counted as a positive Exit - local decision

<b>Total Possible</b>	<b>100</b>
-----------------------	------------