

Minutes for 7-18-22 Meeting

ATTENDEES

- **Committee**
 - Andrew Hening
 - Madolyn Agrimonti
 - Teddie Pierce
 - Una Glass
 - Cathleen Tandy
 - Chessy Etheridge
 - Dennis Pocekay
 - Don Schwartz
 - Kelli Kuykendall
 - Ludmilla Bade
 - Rebekah Sammet
- **Staff**
 - Andrew Akufo
 - Karissa White
 - Alea Tantarelli
 - Adam Siegenthaler
 - Araceli Rivera
 - Chuck Mottern
 - Daniel Overbury-Howland
 - Dave Kiff
 - Michael Gause
 - Thai Hilton
- **Public**
 - Two online members

2. COMMITTEE LEADERSHIP ELECTIONS

- Teddie Pierce ... Chair
- Cathleen Tandy ... Vice-Chair
- Andrew Hening ... Secretary

3. AGENDA OVERVIEW

- No public comments
- 1 question from a former funding / evaluation committee member (John Moore - CoC rep, Councilmember from Cotati) who was curious about process for new committee
 - Madolyn, Una and Don, Ludmilla all supportive of John being part of committee
 - Michael G
 - In the past have been several iterations of funding / evaluation

- This group will have a broader scope, more funding focused
 - Chuck
 - Sometime ad hoc review panels through the County
 - Ludmilla
 - Past experience with local efforts, struggled with lack of broad expertise and representation
 - Question ... where are we in the NOFA process?
 - Question ... where is the overlap with the other group?
 -
 - Karissa
 - There is a funding / evaluation committee John is participating in, will keep meeting until end of current funding cycle
 - Details on the process
 - Decided to form new group
 - Applications
 - That's how this group was constituted
 - Don motioned, Madolyn seconded to add John Moore to this committee
 - Unanimous
 - Public comment
 - Gregory Fearon
 - Funding and evaluation generally included people who are not conflicted (people / organizations not applying for funding)
- There is 1 remaining open seat
 - Member from service provider advisory committee

4. HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS

- Frequency
 - Michael G
 - nothing urgent within next three months
 - Rebekah
 - Should be open to meeting more often
 - Don
 - Should be open to more often given volume of work to do
 - Una
 - Agree on more often ... more than just evaluating programs, more holistic, benchmarking, etc.
 - Kelly
 - Would like a schedule of upcoming funding over the next year
 - Andrew A
 - Can send out Doodle for more frequent meetings
 - Best week of the month
 - 90 minutes
 - Ludmilla

- Seconding funding timeline request from staff
- Madolyn
 - Preference for standing meetings, if you miss, you miss
- Chessy
 - Perhaps we can do bi-weekly for first month or two to get caught up on current policies
- Dennis
 - Agree, better to schedule two, cancel as needed
- Dave
 - Hard for staff to turn info around bi-weekly
- Cathleen
 - Maybe an orientation for new members would be ideal
- Public comment
 - None
- Motion – regular monthly meeting for 2 hours not the same week of the regular COC meeting, also options that do not conflict for City Council members (same day as Council meetings), determined by Doodle
 - Seconded by dennis
 - Andrew H
 - Some type of onboarding / orientation would be really helpful
 - Ludmilla
 - Like idea of longer meetings with more breathing room
 - Teddie
 - Also like the idea of onboarding / orientation
 - Vote
 - Unanimous

5. TASKS RELATING TO COMMITTEE ROLE AND PURPOSE

- Roles and responsibility of committee
 - Kelli
 - Will we be reviewing and ranking applications?
 - Michael ... staff will review and rank but committee will finalize
 - Una
 - Need to look at the context beyond those four funding sources described in the slides
 - Grants are like a rubix cube ... understand gaps in other parts of the system / what other entities are doing
 - Cathleen
 - Other funding and evaluation committee through the CoC ... will they advise on what they have been doing?
 - Don
 - Assume staff answer Cathleen's question
 - To Una's point, this group is about holistic funding, what is the big picture

- Last BOS meeting had a comprehensive document
 - Two years ago CoC didn't do competitive process because no data, staff was going to look at, haven't seen anything
 - Process tends to feel disjointed ... providers input needed to create better process ... opportunity for creating collaborative applications
- Andrew H and Madolyn
 - Second Una and Don
 - Really focus on next three months
 - Learn as much as we can
 - All get on the same page
 - Orientation / onboarding
- Una
 - Let's push the envelope
 - Not just take direction from HUD without consideration
 - What do we need locally
- Cathleen
 - Concurrence ... insanity is doing the same thing over and over again
- Teddie
 - Supports everything that has been said
 - HUD wants social impact
 - HUD supports local innovation that gets results
- Public Comment
 - None
- No action
- Evaluation component
 - Teddie
 - Do we postpone until after onboarding?
 - Michael
 - Works for staff to bring back later
 - Cathleen
 - Second postponing until after onboarding
 - Ludmilla
 - Are there initial thoughts?
 - Don
 - Has been working on something would like to share (will send out separately)
 - Sounds like data is available to plug into Don's framework
 - Dave
 - Supports high-level metrics proposed by Don
 - Length of time
 - Returns
 - Successful exits
 - Cathleen
 - Great, thanks for sharing

- Una
 - Another dimension
 - Chronicity
 - Who are we serving
 - Just program level or system wide?
- Andrew H
 - Love Don's framework
 - How do these program evals role up into broader systems eval / outcomes
- Chessy
 - Differences across case workers, not just program
- Ludmilla
 - Love Don's model
 - How do we measure and evaluate shelter / transitional
 - How do we include client-level qualitative data
- Teddie
 - We should bring this back with more structure and discussion so we can do some prep work?
- Madolyn
 - Agree on bring it back with more structure
- Public Comment
 - Gregory Fearon
 - Really focus on evaluation
 - How do we help providers improve performance as we go along

TEDDIE'S CLOSING COMMENTS

- Don
 - Need more structure
 - There is a ton out there
 - Don't need to reinvent the wheel
 - What exists that we can replicate
 - We need to see the data
 - Use the work being done on Stella
 - Staff to come back with reaction re: we all want to do thing differently ... what are our option for different application processes?
 - Examples of data sets / dashboards
- Teddie
 - Can bring examples of data sets
 - Onboarding structure / orientation
 - Tighten grant schedule
- Ludmilla
 - Would like to hear more about Dave's long-term funding strategy
 - Bigger diagrams / charts in support documentation

- Less color
- Rebekah
 - Second ease of reviewing documents / slides
- Public comments
 - No public remaining