

Sonoma County Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Agenda for February 23, 2022 1:00pm-4:00pm Pacific Time

Public Zoom Link:

https://sonomacounty.zoom.us/j/99261791880?pwd=djc0b1ZsU1FpOU1kbnJ0UDNOcUhFQT09

Phone: 1 (669) 900-9128 **Webinar ID**: 992 6179 1880 **Passcode:** 650935

	Agenda Item	Packet Item	Presenter	Time
	Welcome, Roll Call and Introductions		Board Chair	1:00pm
1.	Consent Calendar (ACTION ITEM): • Approve Agenda • Approve Minutes from 1/26/22	-2/23/22 Agenda -DRAFT 1/26/22 Minutes	Board Chair	1:05pm
2.	State ESG-CV Expenditure Update and Revised Action ACTION ITEM (with recusals): Determine approach for reallocation of remaining ESG-CV funds.	Executive Summary for State ESG-CV Expenditure Update and Revised Action	CDC Staff	1:15pm
3.	CoC Board Lived Experience Seat Election Process ACTION ITEM: Decision on how Lived Experience CoC Board Member for upcoming term will be elected as per CoC Governance Charter	Executive Summary outlining the status of the Lived Experience Advisory & Planning Board (LEAP)	CDC Staff & Board Chair	1:35pm
4.	HOME-ARP Allocation Plan – Continuum of Care Consultation (Sonoma County Community Development Commission and City of Santa Rosa) • Joint presentation summarizing the eligible uses and qualifying populations • Consultation process with the CoC Board and members of the public to provide an opportunity to identify the needs, challenges and gaps in resources for the qualifying populations	-Executive Summary HOME- ARP Allocation Plan -HOME-ARP Program Fact Sheet: HOME-ARP Allocation Plan	-Rhonda Coffman, Community Development Commission -Julie Garen, Housing and Community Services, City of Santa Rosa	1:55pm
5.	Word from the Street		Ludmilla Bade	2:30pm
6.	5 min Break			2:40pm

7.	 Standing Committee Reports Strategic Plan Committee Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)/Data Committee HMIS Systems Performance Measures Youth Action Board 	-Executive Summary of HMIS Systems Performance Measures	Committee Representati ves	2:45pm
8.	 Point in Time Count Update Announcements of 2022 CoC Board Appointments 2022 CoC Board Elections & Approved CoC Voting members 	-CoC Appointments -CoC Approved voting membership list	CDC Staff	3:05pm
9.	 Review Agenda for March CoC Board Meeting CoC Board Chair & Vice-Chair Election CoC Membership Meeting Agenda Discussion & Approval Consultation as to Proposed FY 2022-23 CoC Budget 	-DRAFT 3/22	Board Chair	3:30pm
10.	Board Member Questions & Comments		Board Chair	3:45pm
11.	Public Comment on Non-Agendized Items		Board Chair	4:00pm

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Public Comment may be made via email or during the live zoom meeting. To submit an emailed public comment to the Board email Araceli.Rivera@sonoma-county.org. Please provide your name, the agenda number(s) on which you wish to speak, and your comment. These comments will be emailed to all Board members. Public comment during the meeting can be made live by joining the Zoom meeting using the above provided information. Available time for comments is determined by the Board Chair based on agenda scheduling demands and total number of speakers.



Sonoma County Continuum of Care Board Meeting Meeting Minutes

January 26, 2022 1:00 -5:00 pm Pacific Time – Meeting held by Zoom

Recording of Meeting

1. Welcome and Introductions (0:05-:11)

- Ben Leroi, Continuum of Care (CoC) Board chair, called the meeting to order at 1:00 pm. Ben Leroi went over the agenda, clarified Zoom rules around public comment and Brown Act guidelines.
- Three new members had the opportunity to introduce themselves: Ouida Cooper-Rodriguez, Nora Mallonee-Brand, and Dennis Pocekay.
- Roll Call was taken:
 - Present: Supervisor Chris Coursey; Tom Schwedhelm, City of Santa Rosa; Ouida Cooper-Rodriguez, Committee on the Shelterless; Ben Leroi, Santa Rosa Community Health; Margaret Sluyk, Reach For Home; Alena Wall, Kaiser Permanente; Cheyenne McConnell, Youth Community Member; Don Schwartz, City of Rohnert Park; Lisa Fatu, Social Advocates for Youth; Nora Mallonee-Brand, Sonoma County Health Services; Rebekah Sammet, proxy for Ludmilla Bade, Lived Experience Representative; Stephen Sotomayor, City of Healdsburg Services; Dennis Pocekay, City of Petaluma, Angela Struckmann, Sonoma County Human Services; Jennielynn Holmes, Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Santa Rosa
 - o Absent: None

2. Agenda and Minutes Approval (00:12-00:18)

Public Comment:

Madolyn Agrimonti

Stephen Sotomayor motioned to approve the agenda; approve minutes from 11/17/21 with Alena Wall's edits to add a note to board comments about public comments; Tom Schwedhelm seconds.

Ayes: Ben Leroi, Don Schwartz, Chris Coursey, Alena Wall, Margaret Sluyk, Jennielynn Holmes, Lisa Fatu, Cheyenne McConnell, Stephen Sotomayor, Tom Schwedhelm,

Noes: None

Abstain: Rebekah Sammet, absent; Dennis Pocekay, was not at meeting; Ouida Cooper-Rodriguez,

absent; Angela Struckmann, absent; Nora Mallonee-Brand, absent

Absent: None

3. Coordinated Entry Operator (00:18- 00:57)

Coordinated Entry Advisory Committee Chair Jennielynn Holmes and Thai Hilton provided an overview of the committee's recommendation to select Home First as the new operator. Staff will follow up on development of contract with HomeFirst.

Public Comment:

Gia

Jennifer Harte

Don Schwartz motioned to approve Home First as the Coordinated Entry Operator with three additional annual renewals based on mutual satisfaction of provider and Continuum of Care Board; Tom Schwedhelm seconds.

Ayes: Ben Leroi, Don Schwartz, Chris Coursey, Alena Wall, Margaret Sluyk, Jennielynn Holmes, Lisa Fatu, Cheyenne McConnell, Stephen Sotomayor, Tom Schwedhelm, Dennis Pocekay, Rebekah

Sammet, Ouida Cooper-Rodriguez, Angela Struckmann, Nora Mallonee-Brand

Noes: None Abstain: None Absent: None

4. Emergency Solutions Grant Covid-19 Update (0:57-1:10)

Chuck Mottern, Homeless Services Coordinator, provided an update on SAVS Sebastopol RV Project. Dave Kiff, Interim Executive Director, discussed available funding and provided a recommendation for the final allocation.

Lisa Fatu recused for this item.

Public Comment:

None at this time.

Tom Schwedhelm motioned to approve remaining amount of unallocated ESG-CV funds be allocated to SAY for their Rapid Rehousing program; Alena Wall seconds.

Ayes: Ben Leroi, Don Schwartz, Chris Coursey, Alena Wall, Margaret Sluyk, Jennielynn Holmes, Cheyenne McConnell, Stephen Sotomayor, Tom Schwedhelm, Dennis Pocekay, Ouida Cooper-Rodriguez, Angela Struckmann, Nora Mallonee-Brand

Noes: Rebekah Sammet

Abstain: None Absent: None Recused: Lisa Fatu

5. Sonoma County Human Services Department Presentation on Older Housing Needs (1:11-1:54)

Sharon Rapport, Director of California State Policy Corporations for Supportive Housing; Nadia Woodcock, Program Development Manager Sonoma County Adult Protective Services; Esther Lemus, Supervising Attorney from Legal Aid of Sonoma County; and Ronit Rubinoff, Executive Director of Legal Aid Sonoma County presented on Older Adult Housing Needs. The presentation included interventions and services that can aid older adults experiencing homelessness and work to increase housing stability.

Public Comment:

None at this time.

6. 5 Minute Break (1:55-2:00)

7. Word From the Street (2:00-2:06)

Rebekah Sammet presented on her personal experience at the Palms Inn through Catholic Charities. Other updates include that Burbank Housing is beginning to contract with Catholic Charities as property management.

Public Comment:

None at this time.

8. Standing Committee Updates (2:07-3:16)

- a. Coordinated Entry Assessment (CEA) Committee: Thai Hilton provided an update on the Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV). All voucher referrals have been made and the referral group is now shifting focus to housing navigation. Some partners are participating in a centralized housing location program to assist in generating housing leads for EHV partners. 19 vouchers are currently leased and 5 are pending for Sonoma County Housing Authority. There were no current numbers provided for the Santa Rosa Housing Authority.
- b. **Strategic Plan Committee:** Tom Schwedhelm presented on the three work groups and emerging funding. The Housing Work Group has assessed available housing and worked with HomeBase on housing challenges. The Increased Income Work Group is working to identify what income is available, establishing accessible documents, and how increased income impacts unsheltered individuals. The Coordinated System of Care Work Group seeks approval to work toward a centralized housing location system. Jennielynn Holmes provided an update on the open HMIS discussion and the efforts to ease the transfer of and enhancement of data collection.

Public Comment: Gerry La Londe Berg Gregory Fearon Adrienne Lauby

- c. **Charter & Policy Review Committee:** Alea Tantarelli reported that the committee completed the revision of the CoC Governance Charter, and the committee is no longer meeting until a policy need or Charter review arises.
- d. **HMIS Data Committee:** Daniel Overbury-Howland provided a brief update on the last committee meeting which included HUD 2021 System Performance Measures. An update was

- provided to the board on intra-agency communication and previously submitted documents in HMIS. It was confirmed the sharing of these documents is allowable per HUD guidelines.
- e. **Lived Experience Advisory Body (LEAP):** Andrew Akufo presented on the board's current status, established as of January 24, 2022. The board will meet every two weeks starting Monday, February 7, 2022.

Ludmilla Bade arrived at this time.

Public Comment:

None at this time.

9. Staff Report 2022 (3:17-3:40)

Karissa White, Continuum of Care Coordinator, provided an update on the upcoming 2022 Continuum of Care Board election. Michael Gause provided an update on the 2022 Point in Time Count. A confirmed list of individuals with appointed seats will be provided at the next board meeting. Daniel Overbury-Howland discussed current shelter bed utilization. Thai Hilton spoke about Built for Zero. Dave Kiff provided an update on lived experience stipends.

Public Comment:

Gregory Fearon

10. Review Agenda for February 23, 2022 (3:41-3:44)

Don Schwartz requested comments on the System Performance Measures.

Public Comment:

None at this time

11. Board Member Questions and Comments (3:45-3:50)

12. Public Comment on Non-Agendized Items (3:50)

Joh Moore

Meeting Adjourned at 4:53 PM



Item: Agenda Item 2: State ESG-CV Expenditure Update and Revised Action

Date: February 23, 2022

Staff Contacts: Dave Kiff, Michael Gause, Chuck Mottern

Summary. In recent weeks, staff learned that the State of California determined that the Sonoma Applied Village Services (SAVS), RV Village, now named Horizon Shine, could not be categorized as a non-congregate shelter. They instead suggested it be reclassified it as a Street Outreach project. As a result, the project design developed by SAVS could not operate or take on the total amount of funding allocated due to this change in category, as eligible spending did not match the anticipated expenses allowed by Street Outreach projects funded with State Emergency Solutions Grant — Coronavirus (ESG-CV) funds.

In response, and to support SAVS's effort, the Sonoma County Community Development Commission (SCCDC) has identified a local source of funds to achieve the project — in this case, it's the County's general fund-supported project (at \$2 million) to increase the amount of safe parking locations in the county. With this change, the SAVS project would be accomplished without using the CoC's ESG-CV Funding at all.

The funding change is as follows:

Current	St	ate ESG-CV (COC)	State HHAP-2 (CDC \$733K Allocation)	County Safe Parking
Sebastopol Safe Parking Site	\$	368,000	\$ -	\$ -
George's Hideaway		-	\$ -	\$ 350,000

Proposed	State ESG-CV (CoC)	State HHAP-2 (CDC \$733K Allocation)	County Safe Parking
Sebastopol Safe Parking Site	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 368,000.00
George's Hideaway	\$ -	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -

As a reminder, the spending deadline for the CoC's State ESG-CV is by July 30, 2022, with current contracts concluding on June 30, 2022. While the ESG-CV funds did not work well for the SAVS

project, the funds can and should still be reallocated to projects that can assure their use by the close of the fiscal year.

Possible Approaches: A reliably effective and timely expenditure of the \$368,000 returned to the CoC could be to distribute funds across a set of Rapid Rehousing (RRH) providers to allow them to support Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) deposits and staffing. This action will require amending contracts to add dollars, requiring swift action due to the impending timeline.

Another strategy could be to support the West County Community Services' (WCCS) West County Navigation Center in their final five months of operating until June 1.

Both of these strategies would ensure that all funds get expended by June 30, 2022, with the least amount of staff work.

Staff Recommendation: We recommend:

- 1. The ESG-CV Committee be reconvened quickly to consider the possible uses for the ESG-CV funds returned by the reprogramming for the SAVS project; and
- 2. That the options for the Committee be limited to more support for RRH programs that support EHV placements and assistance to the WCCS' West County Navigation Center; and
- 3. That the ESG-CV committee be empowered to act on the Board's behalf in directing staff to allocate the funds according to the Committee's recommendations and resultant contract amendments; and
- 4. That the actions taken be reported back to the CoC Board at the March meeting as an information/update item.



Item: Agenda Item 3: LEAP Board Executive Summary

Date: February 23, 2022

Staff Contact: Andrew Akufo, 405-213-9957, Andrew. Akufo@Sonoma-County.org

Agenda Item Overview

The purpose of the LEAP is to advise the Sonoma County CoC Board on homeless-related policies and how to spend money targeted for homeless services. The LEAP will also advise and plan with the CoC Board and homeless service agencies how to improve homeless services, shelters, and housing.

- The LEAP Board is a fully functioning board currently made up of 14 different board members
- The LEAP Board, as currently constructed, represents lived experienced members from communities including Santa Rosa, Sebastopol, Windsor, Petaluma, Guerneville, Healdsburg and Cotati
- Board members were elected by majority vote on Monday, January 24, 2022 by Sonoma County lived experience members
- Leadership for the LEAP Board was established during the first official meeting of the new board on Monday, February 7, 2022. Leadership includes the following roles:
 - Rebekah Sammet Chair
 - Chessy Etheridge Co-Chair
 - Heather Jackson Co-Secretary
 - Nicole Catoe Sweeney, Co-Secretary
 - Eduardo Alvarez Co-Secretary/Time Keeper
 - Albert Bruin Time Keeper
- The LEAP Board meets every 2 weeks or on a bi-weekly basis.
- The Board currently operates under Rosenberg's Rules of Order
- Decisions are currently determined by majority vote
- The LEAP Board is currently in the process of defining its priorities and developing its charter
- The majority of LEAP Board members have experience serving or currently serve on other committees or boards
- The Board stands ready to elect the new Lived Experience seat on the CoC Board



Item: HOME-ARP Allocation Plan – Continuum of Care Consultation with Sonoma County Community Development Commission and City of Santa Rosa)

Date: February 25, 2022

Staff Contact: Rhonda Coffman, Community Development Commission and Julie Garen, City of Santa Rosa

Agenda Item Overview

The American Rescue Plan (ARP) provides \$5 billion through the HOME-ARP program to HOME Participating Jurisdictions (PJs). Both Sonoma County and the City of Santa Rosa are PJ's and received HOME-ARP allocations. While the city of Petaluma is a PJ, they did not receive an allocation. In collaboration with other community stakeholders, HOME PJs can use the funding to make targeted, strategic investments in housing and other assistance for people experiencing or at imminent risk of homelessness, and other vulnerable populations (qualifying populations).

Each PJ must development a HOME-ARP Allocation Plan, which aids communities in planning for their allocation of the HOME-ARP funding. The HOME-ARP Allocation Plan includes a required consultation process with the Continuum of Care (CoC) and other agencies and service providers, development of a needs assessment and gap analysis, identification of priority needs and subsequent identification of HOME-ARP activities eligible for funding. This process includes public participation as defined in each PJ's Citizen Participation Plan. Meeting with the CoC is the initial step in the consultation process to obtain input on the highest priority needs of people experiencing or at imminent risk of homelessness, and other vulnerable populations. This will also lead to the analysis of gaps to determine the highest priority activities eligible for the use of HOME-ARP funding.

HOME-ARP funds can be used for four eligible activities, restricted to benefit qualifying populations:

- Production or Preservation of Affordable Housing
- Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
- Supportive Services, Homeless Prevention Services, and Housing Counseling
- Purchase and Development of Non-Congregate Shelter

Additional information on the HOME-ARP program is included in the attachment to this executive summary and more information on the can be viewed here: https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/home-arp/

Recommendation

Hear a presentation on the HOME-ARP program and HOME-ARP Allocation Plan, provide input on unmet needs of qualifying populations and identify any gaps within the current shelter and housing inventory as well as the service delivery system.

Attachments

1. HOME-ARP Program Fact Sheet: HOME-ARP Allocation Plan

HOME-ARP Program Fact Sheet: HOME-ARP Allocation Plan

Overview:

As described in the Getting Your HOME-ARP Grant Fact Sheet, HUD began obligating HOME-ARP grants upon publication of the *CPD Notice: Requirements for the Use of Funds in the HOME-American Rescue Plan Program* ("the Notice") to provide PJs with access to 5 percent of the grant for eligible program administration and planning activities. However, to be provided access to the balance of its HOME-ARP funds, a PJ must engage in consultation and public participation processes and develop a HOME-ARP allocation plan. The plan must describe how the PJ intends to distribute HOME-ARP funds, including how it will use these funds to address the needs of HOME-ARP qualifying populations. PJs will submit the HOME-ARP allocation plan to HUD as a substantial amendment to the Fiscal Year 2021 annual action plan for HUD review and acceptance.

Consultation and Public Participation:

- <u>Consultation</u>: A PJ must consult with agencies and service providers whose clientele include the HOME-ARP qualifying populations to identify unmet needs and gaps in housing or service delivery systems. In its plan, a PJ must describe its consultation process, list the organizations consulted, and summarize the feedback received from these entities. At a minimum, a PJ must consult with:
 - CoC(s) serving the jurisdiction's geographic area (Note: State PJs are not required to consult with every CoC);
 - Homeless and domestic violence service providers;
 - Veterans' groups;
 - Public housing agencies (PHAs) (Note: State PJs are not required to consult with every PHA);
 - o Public agencies that address the needs of the qualifying populations; and,
 - Public or private organizations that address fair housing, civil rights, and the needs of persons with disabilities.
- <u>Public Participation</u>: PJs must provide for and encourage citizen participation in the development of the HOME-ARP allocation plan. At a minimum a PJ must:
 - Provide residents with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on the proposed HOME-ARP allocation plan of no less than 15 calendar days.
 - o Follow its adopted requirements for "reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment" for plan amendments in its current citizen participation plan.
 - Hold at least one public hearing during the development of the HOME-ARP allocation plan prior to submitting the plan to HUD.

- Follow applicable fair housing and civil rights requirements and procedures for effective communication, accessibility, and reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities and providing meaningful access to participation by limited English proficient (LEP) residents that are in its current citizen participation plan.
- Describe in the plan the public participation process, efforts made to broaden public participation, and a summary of public comments and recommendations accepted or not accepted and the reasons why.

HOME-ARP Allocation Plan Requirements:

- <u>Needs Assessment and Gap Analysis:</u> A PJ must evaluate the size and demographic composition of its qualifying populations and assess their unmet needs. In addition, a PJ must identify any gaps within its current shelter and housing inventory as well as the service delivery system. In addition, the plan must:
 - Identify the characteristics of housing associated with instability and an increased risk of homelessness if the PJ will include such conditions under HUD's definition of "other populations."
 - o Identify priority needs for qualifying populations; and,
 - Explain how level of need and gaps in its shelter and housing inventory and service delivery systems was determined.
- *HOME-ARP Activities:* The plan must:
 - Describe how a PJ will distribute HOME-ARP funds in accordance with its priority needs including the method for soliciting applications for funding and/or selecting developers, service providers, subrecipients and/or contractors and whether the PJ will administer eligible activities directly.
 - o Indicate the amount of HOME-ARP planned for each eligible activity type.
 - Demonstrate that planned funding for nonprofit organization operating assistance, nonprofit capacity building, and administrative costs is within HOME-ARP limits.
 - o Include a narrative about how the characteristics of its shelter and housing inventory, service delivery system, and the needs identified in the PJ's gap analysis provided a rationale for the plan to fund eligible activities.
- <u>HOME-ARP Production Goals:</u> The plan must estimate the number of affordable rental housing units for qualifying populations that the PJ will produce and describe a specific affordable rental housing production goal and how it will address the PJ's priority needs.
- <u>Preferences:</u> The plan must identify whether the PJ intends to give preference to one or more qualifying populations or a subpopulation within one or more qualifying populations for any eligible activity or project.
- <u>HOME-ARP Refinancing Guidelines:</u> If a PJ intends to use HOME-ARP funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily rental housing that is being rehabilitated with HOME-ARP funds, it must state its refinancing guidelines in accordance with 24 CFR 92.206(b).

- <u>Certifications and SF-424</u>: A PJ must submit the SF-424, SF-424B, and SF-424D and the required certifications with the HOME-ARP allocation plan, including the following:
 - Affirmatively Further Fair Housing;
 - Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act and Antidisplacement and Relocation Assistance Plan;
 - Anti-Lobbying;
 - Authority of Jurisdiction;
 - Section 3; and,
 - HOME-ARP specific certification that a PJ will only use HOME-ARP funds consistent with ARP and the HOME-ARP Notice for eligible activities and eligible costs.

Submission Process:

To submit the plan, a PJ must upload a Microsoft Word or PDF version of the plan as a Grantee Unique Appendix on either the AD-26 screen (for PJs whose Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 annual action plan is a Year 2-5 annual action Plan) or the AD-25 screen (for PJs whose FY 2021 annual action plan is a Year 1 annual action plan that is part of the 2021 consolidated plan), unless instructed by HUD to follow a different submission procedure.

HUD Review of the HOME-ARP Allocation Plan:

- HUD will review a PJ's HOME-ARP allocation plan to determine that it is substantially complete and consistent with the purposes of ARP. If a plan is not disapproved, then the plan is deemed approved 45 days after HUD receives the plan.
- HUD may disapprove a plan in accordance with <u>24 CFR 91.500(b)</u>, or if HUD determines that the plan is inconsistent with the purposes of ARP or substantially incomplete.
 - A PJ's plan is inconsistent with ARP if it allocates HOME-ARP funds for uses other than a HOME-ARP eligible activity, as described in the Notice.
 - o A PJ's HOME-ARP allocation plan is substantially incomplete if:
 - The PJ does not complete the required public participation or consultation or fails to describe those efforts in the plan;
 - The PJ fails to include the required elements outlined in the Notice, including the amount of HOME-ARP funds for each eligible HOME-ARP activity type;
 - The PJ fails to identify and describe the responsibilities of the subrecipient or contractor administering all of a its HOME-ARP award, if applicable; and/or,
 - HUD rejects the PJ's HOME-ARP certification as inaccurate
- HUD will notify a PJ in writing with the reasons for disapproval and the PJ may revise and
 resubmit the plan within 45 days after the first notification of disapproval. HUD will
 respond to accept or disapprove the resubmitted plan within 30 days of receipt.
- The PJ must make the final accepted HOME-ARP allocation plan available to the public in accordance with the same requirements in the PJ's current citizen participation plan.



Item: Agenda Item 7: System Performance Measures

Date: 2/17/2022

Staff Contact: Daniel Overbury-Howland, HMIS Coordinator

Agenda Item Overview

System Performance Measures are an annual deliverable for communities that help demonstrate progress in preventing and ending homelessness. They are made up of seven distinct measures which are:

- 1. Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless.
- 2. The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness.
- 3. Number of Homeless Persons.
- 4. Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects.
- 5. Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time.
- 6. Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects.
- 7. Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

We are measured annually against our prior year's performance and our progress factors into HUD's annual CoC Competition scoring. Our performance in these measures also enable us to evaluate our own performance and determine how well our system as a whole is performing.

Attached is a locally put together set of charts that show 4 years' worth of data for each measure and also includes state and national averages for comparison.

Staff Recommendation:

N/A



Item: Agenda item 8: Announcements of 2022 CoC Board Appointments

Date: February 23, 2022

Staff Contact: Michael Gause, Ending Homelessness Program Manager, Michael.Gause@sonoma-county.org

Agenda Item Overview

The following individuals have been appointed for the new CoC Board for March 2022.

- 1. Tom Schwedhelm, Seat: City of Santa Rosa, Organization: City of Santa Rosa
- 2. Dennis Pocekay, Seat: City of Petaluma, Organization: City of Petaluma
- 3. Chris Coursey, Seat: Board of Supervisors, Organization: Board of Supervisors County of Sonoma
- 4. Sonoma County Behavioral Health Management TBD, Seat: County of Sonoma Safety Net, Organization: County of Sonoma
- 5. Stephen Sotomayor, Seat: Northern Region, Organization: City of Healdsburg
- 6. Madolyn Agrimonti, Seat: Sonoma Valley, Organization: City of Sonoma
- 7. Una Glass, Seat: Western Region, Organization: City of Sebastopol
- 8. John Moore, Seat: Rohnert Park and Cotati, Organization: City of Cotati
- 9. Jennielynn Holmes, Seat: Largest homeless services agency, Organization: Catholic Charities



Item: Agenda Item 2: 2022 CoC Board Elections Approved CoC Voting Members

Date: February 23, 2022

Staff Contact: Michael Gause, Ending Homelessness Program Manager, Michael.Gause@sonoma-county.org

Agenda Item Overview

The following individuals have been approved to vote on behalf of their organizations in the 2022 CoC Board Elections. Please note, the designated people listed below are subject to change at the request of the organization. A final approved list of confirmed voters will be noticed and posted prior to the election on March 2nd.

- 1. Jack Tibbets, St. Vincent de Paul
- 2. Ben Leroi, Santa Rosa Community Health
- 3. Beth Henigan, Interfaith Shelter Network
- 4. Kelli Kuykendall, City of Santa Rosa
- 5. Collin Thoma, Disability Services and Legal Center (DSLC)
- 6. Stephen Sotomayor, City of Healdsburg
- 7. Karen Shimizu, City of Petaluma
- 8. Debra Sanders, Sonoma County Office of Education, Education for Homeless Children and Youth
- 9. Damien O'Bid, City of Cotati
- 10. Tom Bieri, Community Support Network
- 11. Chuck Fernandez, COTS- Committee on the Shelterless
- 12. Karen Strolia, Downtown Streets Team
- 13. Lynea Seiberlich-Wheeler, West County Health Services
- 14. Del Christensen, Homes 4 The Homeless
- 15. Heather Myler, Face to Face
- 16. Helen Myers, Food for Thought
- 17. Lauren Koenigshofer, Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians
- 18. Dannielle Danforth, West County Community Services
- 19. Cooleen Torrento, Petaluma Valley Hospital
- 20. Ernesto Olivares, Providence
- 21. Ariana Diaz de Leon, Community Foundation Sonoma County
- 22. Emily Quig, City of Rohnert Park
- 23. Chris Keys, Redwood Gospel Mission
- 24. Jennielynn Holmes, Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Santa Rosa
- 25. Alena Wall, Kaiser Permanente
- 26. Annie Nicol, Petaluma Health Center
- 27. Lisa Fatu, Social Advocates for Youth

- 28. Jessica Chavez, Wallace House
- 29. Miranda Pierce, DEMA Consulting and Management
- 30. Larry McLaughlin, City of Sebastopol
- 31. Sheryl Bratton, County of Sonoma
- 32. Mary Hanes, Nation's Finest
- 33. Eileen Bill, Homeless Action
- 34. Madolyn Agrimonti, City of Sonoma
- 35. Lisa Orloff, Rohnert Park Chamber of Commerce
- 36. Manning Walker, SAFE, Petaluma People Services Center
- 37. Susan Hertel, Drug Abuse Alternative Center
- 38. Adrienne Lauby, SAVS (Sonoma Applied Village Services)
- 39. Mark Krug, Burbank Housing



Sonoma County Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Agenda for March ____, 2022

	Agenda Item	Packet Item	Presenter	Time
	Welcome, Roll Call and Introductions		CDC Staff	
1.	Consent Calendar (ACTION ITEM): • Approve Agenda • Approve Minutes from 2/23/22	-3/_/22 Agenda -DRAFT 2/23/22 Minutes	CDC Staff	
2.	CoC Board Chair & Vice-Chair Election (ACTION ITEM)		CDC Staff	
3.	Staff Report • Consultation as to Proposed FY 2022-23 CoC Budget		CDC Staff	
4.	CoC Membership Meeting Agenda Discussion & Approval (ACTION ITEM)		CDC Staff	
5.	Word from the Street			
6.				
7.	 Standing Committee Reports Coordinated Entry Advisory (CEA) Committee Strategic Plan Committee Charter & Policy Review Committee Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)/Data Committee Lived Experience Advisory & Planning Board (LEAP) Youth Action Board 		Committee Representatives	
8.	Review Agenda for April CoC Board Meeting	-DRAFT April Meeting Agenda	Board Chair	
9.	Board Member Questions & Comments		Board Chair	
10.	Public Comment on Non-Agendized Items		Board Chair	

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Public Comment may be made via email or during the live zoom meeting. To submit an emailed public comment to the Board email Araceli.Rivera@sonoma-county.org. Please provide your name, the agenda number(s) on which you wish to speak, and your comment. These comments will be emailed to all Board members. Public comment during the meeting can be made live by joining the Zoom meeting using the above provided information. Available time for comments is determined by the Board Chair based on agenda scheduling demands and total number of speakers.