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Scott, Please add my attached letter to this file. I am a concerned neighbor.

December 06, 2017

Scott Orr
Project Planner
Permit Resource & Management Department
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa

Dear Mr Orr,

I am writing to you in response to file UPC17-0018: The proposed permit for cannabis cultivation at 6095 Bodega Avenue in Petaluma.

As a neighbor to this property, I am greatly concerned about this application and the potential impacts of yet another large-scale (or small scale) operation on our countryside and greater community. I am also disappointed that I have not already been notified about this application by the County’s Permit & Resource Management Department. In light of this fact, I am requesting that you notify me at agarvisch@yahoo.com of any future developments or changes to this application, and of any opportunities to publicly air my concerns.

Specifically, I reside at 625 Purvine rd and own 18 acres across from another application site (334 Purvine rd). I have lived in this area for the last 8 years. Over the years, my neighbors have been denied expansion of their business by the County on the premise of water availability. As a property owner in close proximity to this proposed cannabis business, which is a high-water crop, I have many concerns about this industry’s impact on our area’s water quality and availability. The safety of our community is also of up-most importance in the county’s seeming rush to try and legalize these grow applications. Furthermore, I believe that the proposed plan would contribute to increased theft, odor and traffic, and would have permanent effects on the cultural and natural landscape of the area.

Please know that I have already contacted my supervisor, David Rabbit, and the Sheriffs Department and my neighbors and I will be meeting with them in the next week to express our concerns about this permit further.

Sincerely,

Ayn Garvisch

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Thanks for taking the time to meet with us last night at the Social Fireman’s Clubhouse in Penngrove. We found the meeting to be informative and useful and appreciated your clear and precise explanation of the PRMD function.

After reading over the proposed permit application, UPC17-0018, for the 6095 Bodega Ave. property, we have serious concerns and trepidation over the environmental impact of this proposed operation.
Christine built our home at 6125 Bodega Ave, parcel 022-200-025, and has lived here continuously since 1986. As a gardener and landscaper, she is familiar with the water and leach field resources in this neighborhood. Water availability has always been a challenge for all the neighbors in the parcel area. The local residents know this for a fact, and adopt an environmentally low water use lifestyle. Patrick and Elizabeth Dauphinais, the previous owners of the 6095 Bodega Ave property, parcel 022-200-002, have had chronic water shortages. That family could never take a bath and run the laundry without waiting for the well to refill. Art and Joanne Derby, at the adjacent 6045 Bodega Ave, often ran out of well water with a household of 4. Art, as he got older, would leave the drip irrigation system on overnight, and run out of water in the morning. He had wait a day to allow his well to refill. As the last hydrological survey was done in the 1980’s, with a zone designation of ‘2’, these parcels have a track record of low ground water availability.

We also have leach field drainage concerns. Our property, while located on 2.5 acres, was only able to ‘perc’ for a 3-bedroom home in the 1980’s. The 2.5-acre property to the immediate west, 6157 Bodega Ave, parcel 022-200-023, never met the county ‘perc’ tests in the 1990’s. That property remains undeveloped. Last year, during the January 2017 winter rains, the existing leach field at 6095 Bodega Ave. overflowed and drained down our shared driveway. That leach field is up-slope of us, located directly to the south of our property. The tenant dug in the leach field extensively afterwards, in an attempt to remedy the leaks.

Based on the above data, a new hydrological survey would be prudent, given the available dated hydrological data and the experience and observations of the current property owners in this neighborhood.

Last, we are concerned over the ethics and honesty of the applicant. When they acquired the property, about a year ago, they converted the large two car garage/workshop into a potential grow operation. We have not seen a valid use permit for this operation. When confronted over the use of the building, the tenant was evasive, and did not tell the truth. Since they took possession, two large air conditioning/evaporators have been installed, along with a large propane tank, all adjacent to the workshop. The PRMD permitting website does not show a permit for these improvements. The workshop was fitted with solar panels by the previous owner. The locks, covered windows, loads of fertilizer, odor and security surrounding the workshop are indicative of a typical grow operation. This operation is less than 300 feet from our back deck.
This is a formal complaint against a possible non-compliant cannabis grow operation. A PRMD permit application cannot proceed when the property is in violation of the very same application. Please check out these serious non-compliant allegations, and if true, add the non-compliance investigation to the permit file. We expect this non-compliance to be cleaned up.

Thank you for your consideration of these important environmental issues. Please add this letter to the UPC17-0018 permit file.

Christine Ella

Peter Polt

msella143@gmail.com

papolt@gmail.com

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
Mr. Scott Orr

I am writing to you in response to file #UPC17-0018. 6095 Bodega Ave. Petaluma, CA. I live a few miles from this address. I have been a resident for 72 years, and parents much longer. We have 5 acres. I am concerned about safety, theft, water usage, traffic, so close to Wilson School, and the permanent/irreversible effects on the cultural and natural landscape of the area. Thank you for your time.

James Davis
4400 Bodega Avenue
Petaluma, CA 94952
E-mail: bigfishless17@yahoo.com
No way should cannabis be grown in our area. They should grow in warehouses somewhere. It will affect the water supply which is already a problem. It will create crime and is not going to stop illegal grow. Has there ever been testing to see if the aroma could affect someone later on in life? If it is to be grown near schools that is something to be looked into. Also, what about wildlife, will they be affected?

My parents bought this property in 1955 and I have been living here for the past 14 years and concerned about the changes that could take place if pot is to be grown here.

Thanks

Lois Givens
Subject: FW: Application No.: UPC17-0018

From: Christine Ella [mailto:msella143@gmail.com]
Sent: March 07, 2018 5:09 PM
To: Scott Orr <Scott.Orr@sonoma-county.org>; Tennis Wick <Tennis.Wick@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Application No.: UPC17-0018

Christine Ella & Peter Polt
6125 Bodega Avenue

Petaluma, CA

415 246-7571

msella143@gmail.com
papolt@gmail.com

March 7th, 2018

Scott Orr
Project Planner
Permit Resource & Management Department
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa

Dear Scott Orr,

We our writing to you in response to file UPC Number 17-0018: The proposed permit for cannabis cultivation at 6095 Bodega Ave.

As neighbors to this property, we are greatly concerned about this application and the potential impacts of yet another large scale and commercial cannabis operation on our countryside and greater Middle Two Rock community. We are also disappointed that are other neighbors have not already been notified about this application by the County’s Permit & Resource Management Department. In light of this fact, We are requesting that you notify me at msella143@gmail.com and papolt@gmail.com of any future developments or changes to this application, and of any opportunities to publicly air my concerns.

Specifically, we reside at 6125 Bodega Ave and own 2.45 acres approximately 300 feet from the application site. we have lived in this area for the last 32 years. Over the years, my neighbors have been denied expansion of their business by the County on the premise of water availability and inadequate utility services. As property owners in close proximity to this proposed cannabis business, which is a high-water crop, we have many concerns about this industry’s impact on our area’s water quality and availability. The safety of our community is of utmost importance, and the county seems ill-prepared to adequately notify, inform and protect the surrounding communities, and to mitigate our concerns. Furthermore, we believe that the application for this proposed commercial cannabis grow will undeniably contribute to increased theft, odor and traffic, and will have permanent/irreversible effects on the cultural and natural landscape of the area.

Please know that we will be contacting are supervisor, David Rabbit and will be expressing our concerns about this permit.

Sincerely,

Christine Ella & Peter Polt
Thank you for attending the community meeting last week! The turnout was astonishing -- 300+ community members attended, illustrating how passionate we are about preserving and protecting our neighborhoods. Even though our name and signage read "No Pot On Purvine," our group is dedicated to working together with the broader community and surrounding areas on combating multiple commercial application sites, and to working on the larger issue of commercial cannabis in our county. If you would like to get more involved in this movement and have not already subscribed through our website, please let us know by calling us at 707-559-8563, responding to this email, or signing up here. Please keep reading for actions items and steps to take moving forward:

This meeting was just the beginning. The goal of the meeting was for neighbors to walk away with a sense of urgency in expressing their concerns about commercial cannabis projects coming into our area and changing the landscape, sanctity, and social fabric of our community. David Rabbitt, our District 2 Supervisor, essentially provided us all with a roadmap of how the community can challenge these individual projects while we work on collecting data which will show why our area and others cannot support this commercial industry.

Per David, the Zoning Code's Use Permit Approval Findings language specifically states:

“The design location size and operating characteristics of the use is considered compatible with the existing and future land uses within the vicinity. The use would not be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such use, nor be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or the general welfare of the area.”

NOW is the time to take action. Here is how:

1.) Write letters to the specific county project planners for these applications and voice your opinions. Attached to the end of this email is a template letter, which you can use and edit at your discretion. Remember that numbers matter: Every member of your family can write a letter and send it via email to the county to increase the number of letters in applications' files. This should increase the level of scrutiny given to the application, and will certainly assist in challenging them. Please write letters (even if they are identical in content) for applications throughout the Middle Two Rock, Bloomfield, and Penngrove areas. If the grow site by your home is not listed below, email us and we will connect you with the information and a contact!

APPLICATIONS BY ADDRESS:

334 Purvine Road
Project Planner: Crystal Acker

Email: Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org, Tennis.wick@sonoma-county.org

Application No.: UPC 17-0020

6095 Bodega Avenue.

Project Planner: Scott Orr

Email: Scott.Orr@sonoma-county.org, Tennis.wick@sonoma-county.org

Application No.: UPC17-0018

*3215 Middle Two Rock Road

Project Planner: Crystal Acker

Email: Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org, Tennis.wick@sonoma-county.org

Application No.: UPC17-0095

*Note: as many neighbors have already observed, this project is in the midst of constructing their outdoor cannabis cultivation area and is currently growing cannabis on-site. They are "grandfathered in" and are operating under the County's Penalty Relief Program. Your letters should address this fact, and you may also want to cc: Tennis Wick (the head of Permit Sonoma) in your email. This is particularly concerning as there is no public hearing period for neighbors to vocalize their concerns while they operate without a conditional-use permit.

For the following applications please email your concerns and letters to:

Tennis.wick@sonoma-county.org

1321 Spring Hill Rd-Petaluma

Application No.: UPC17-0101

7610 Valley Ford Rd, Petaluma

Application No.: UPC17-0087

2040 Fallon Rd, Petaluma
2.) Write letters to or call supervisors and their district directors expressing your concerns about commercial cannabis policies in Sonoma County. Many who have done so already have received a response prompting them to identify where in Sonoma County these grows should be placed instead--anticipate this response, and remember that the issue at hand is the inappropriate placement of current applications.

Here is the list of Supervisors and their emails:

**Susan Gorin**
District 1 Supervisor
Medical Marijuana Ad Hoc Committee
[Susan.Gorin@sonoma-county.org](mailto:Susan.Gorin@sonoma-county.org)

**Pat Gilardi**
District Director to Susan Gorin
[Pat.Gilardi@sonoma-county.org](mailto:Pat.Gilardi@sonoma-county.org)

**David Rabbitt**
District 2 Supervisor
David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org

Andrea Krout
District Director to David Rabbitt
Andrea.Krout@sonoma-county.org

Shirlee Zane
District 3 Supervisor
Shirlee.Zane@sonoma-county.org

Michelle Whitman
District Director to Shirlee Zane
Michelle.Whitman@sonoma-county.org

James Gore
District 4 Supervisor
James.Gore@sonoma-county.org

Jenny Chamberlain
District Director to James Gore
jenny.chamberlain@sonoma-county.org

Lynda Hopkins
District 5 Supervisor
Medical Marijuana Ad Hoc Committee
Lynda.Hopkins@sonoma-county.org

Susan Upchurch
District Director to Lynda Hopkins
Susan.Upchurch@sonoma-county.org
For those of you who would like a template letter to send to your supervisor, please email our group directly.

Sincerely,

The No Pot On Purvine Team

*If you would like to continue to receive emails and updates, please take a moment subscribe to our email list on nopotonpurvine.com*

--

Please take time to visit our website nopotonpurvine.com
To Scott Orr,

Good Morning. On March 29th my husband and I went to a meeting of the Petaluma Valley groundwater sustainability agency. We sat next to Gary Mickelson, which is a co-owner of Jerry & Don’s Yager Pump Well Service. They are located at 1290 Bodega Ave. Petaluma Ca. Phone number is 707 763-1473. They have been providing water systems for over 50 years in this Petaluma area. Gary has been in the business over 30 years and grew up with his father in this business.

Gary Mickelson’s information I think is a valuable piece of information in the process of issuing permits in on 3215 Middle Two Rock, 334 Purvine, and 6095 Bodega Avenue. Here are some of the pieces of information I learned from him.

- An eight-inch city water pipe was put in from Petaluma all the way to the coast guard station in the late 1940’s early 1950’s because of no water in this area. The coast guard would not exist today without that water pipe pumping water from Petaluma to the coast guard station.

- Wiggins Hill is on Franciscan rock formation. This is the hill coming down the west side where the Stemple creek water shed is. This Franciscan rock formation comes down along the homes including my home 6125 and 6095 Bodega Avenue. Petaluma, where the application for the permit UPC17-0018. There are many parts of this Franciscan rock formation when you hit it, this blue shale, water cannot pass through.

- Gary Mickelson said we are in a very scares water area and if the owners at 6095 put a new well in located at the bottom of there property closes to our well most likely they will draw water from the same water shelf that we are on. If they do then our well is in jeopardy of going dry with the amount of water usage, of up to 4500 gallon per day.

- Also if 6095 were bare land today they would not pass code to build a single-family house without a 2-gallon minimum. Right now they pull less than 2 gallons on that 7-acre parcel.

My concern again is the water usage and how this will affect the whole neighborhood. Sustainability is an important issue for our future children’s generation.

Thank you for your time. Please add these comments to the file UPC17-0018

Christine Ella
Peter Polt
March 22, 2019

Kristine Wright     Michael Wright
Fenix Farms, LLC     Two Rock Ventures, LLC
350 Rhode Island Street    6095 Bodega Avenue
S. Building, Suite 240     Petaluma, CA 94952
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma

Dear Mr. and Ms. Wright:

I represent Christine Ella and Peter A. Polt (collectively, “Ella”), who own 6125 Bodega Avenue, and Jesse Christian Jones and Michaela M. McCormick (collectively, “Jones”), who own 6045 Bodega Avenue. As you know, Ella and Jones access their properties via a driveway shared with your property at 6095 Bodega Avenue.

For many years, a portion of that driveway has been located on the Ella property. The encroachment is clearly depicted on the plat of existing driveway conditions prepared for you by Ray Carlson and Associates, Inc. on or about January 30, 2017 and in the photograph accompanying the October 26, 2018 grading plan prepared by Pacific Engineering & Construction, Inc. in connection with Two Rock’s cannabis permit application.

Under California law, you and Jones have a prescriptive access easement to continue using that portion of the driveway which encroaches on the Ella parcel. The use of that easement, however, is limited to its use by previous owners of your parcel during the prescriptive period. Thus, you may only access your parcel for use as a single family residence and pasture land. More intense use, such as a commercial cannabis operation, will result in a prohibited surcharge or overburdening of the easement, which may be enjoined.

You do not have consent to use the easement over the Ella property to access a commercial cannabis operation. Any attempt to use the easement for that purpose will be met by an immediate action for damages and injunctive relief.

Sincerely,

Kevin Block
June 24, 2019

By Electronic Mail
Tennis Wick, Director
Permit and Resource Management Department
County of Sonoma
2550 Ventura Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
tennis.wick@sonoma-county.org

Re: 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma
VMG19-0011/LLA19-0028/PLP19-0023/UPC17-0018

Dear Tennis:

I am writing to comment on two recently filed applications for a merger of parcels and a lot line adjustment, both of which are an integral part of a pending cannabis permit application (UPC17-0018). The first application proposes to merge APN 022-200-042 (commonly known as “Raven Road”) into APN 022-200-002, the parcel designated for cannabis production (the “Cannabis Parcel”). The second application proposes to adjust the boundary with a third parcel (APN 022-200-037) to add five acres to the merged parcels.

Raven Road is an illegal parcel. For many years, it was treated as a part of the predecessor parcels to APN 022-200-037. It was created as a separate parcel in 1974 through a conveyance from Zimmerman to Jacobsen (2830 OR 413). The legal description in that conveyance should have but did not include Raven Road, thus orphaning the road parcel by leaving ownership in Jacobsen, who conveyed the rest of the property to Zimmerman.

In or about 1995, the owner of 022-200-037 requested that the County Tax Assessor remap her property and exclude the road portion which was not included in her legal description. Upon researching the matter, the Mapping Department agreed, remapped 022-200-037 to exclude the road, and assigned it a separate assessor’s parcel number (APN 022-200-042).

The assigning of a tax assessor’s number to a parcel has no bearing on whether the parcel is legal. A parcel is legal only if it was created in conformance with the Subdivision Map Act in effect at the time of its creation. Nothing about the creation of Raven Road conformed with the Map Act when the parcel was orphaned in 1974.
The illegal status of Raven Road has ramifications for the pending applications. An illegal parcel is ineligible for development permits (SCC 25-12). Merger will make the Raven Road parcel developable, thereby increasing the potential for impacts on the environment, including the riparian corridor located on the property. Because approval of the merger is discretionary and may be conditioned as the County deems necessary (SCC 25-13.9(a)), the need for CEQA review is clear. Moreover, to avoid piecemealing, the merger application must be considered in conjunction with the pending cannabis application (UPC17-0018), since the two are inextricably intertwined.

The application for a boundary adjustment contains numerous misrepresentations intended to bring the project within the definition of a minor lot line adjustment. It is not a minor lot line adjustment. Under the criteria set forth in the County Code, the project is a major lot line adjustment (or “parcel reconfiguration”) because the roadway parcel is (1) being increased in size by more than 100%, (2) made developable, (3) subject to merger and (4) the application is subject to CEQA (SCC 25-70.2).

The application must therefore be processed as a minor subdivision or parcel map (25-11.5). To that end, the application is incomplete. Among other failings, it does not include a map depicting the riparian corridor or existing easements over Raven Road for the benefit of two adjoining parcels.

Neither the merger application nor the lot line adjustment application is ripe for review. They should, as required by the County Code (SCC 25-4.1(a)), be folded into the pending cannabis permit application, which should be amended to reflect changes to the project and considered by the BZA. If PRAC is going to review the applications, I request notice of the required hearing.

Do not hesitate to contact me with questions about any of these comments.

Sincerely,

Kevin Block
Dear Mr. Orr & Sonoma County Officials:

I am writing to express my support for the cannabis greenhouse project located at 6095 Bodega Avenue. I own a neighboring property to the proposed development and I am in favor of the project being approved by Sonoma County. As long as everything is legal and up to par, I have no objections at this time. Ground water and land value are two things of concern.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Zimmerman

7-20-18
ATTN: Kyle Rabellino
Sonoma County PRMD
2550 Ventura Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear Mr. Rabellino & Sonoma County Officials:

I am writing to express my support for the cannabis greenhouse project located at 6095 Bodega Avenue. I have lived on a neighboring property for almost 25 years and I believe in a property owner's right to develop their parcel. I have met with Michael Wright, the developer of the cannabis project many times in person and he has been a good neighbor. Traffic generation and water use is minimal and I see this as just another agriculture activity. Assuming Michael and TRV follow the ordinances passed by the voters and Supervisors I am in favor of this project being approved by Sonoma County.

Thank you,

Pam Locke
6015 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma
This is a letter of support for our tenant, TRV Corp. at 6095 Bodega Avenue, and the cannabis project they have proposed. We purchased this house to be a vacation home for our family, but I found an opportunity to grow our local economy by providing a small amount of our land to a hopeful cannabis operator. We are following in the footsteps of the pioneers in the wine industry, which were met with the same irrational resistance at the start, from land use to Prohibition. We look back and laugh at the lack of foresight as we revile in the immense benefits that the wine industry has brought our community. From jobs and tax revenue to the wine tasting we enjoy and becoming a tourism and wedding mecca, we are incredibly blessed by this industry. If the wine industry had been shut down by the vocal minority, we would all be worse off today.

We have a chance now to either create the same success or turn away a massive growth opportunity. As our local dairies and farms unfortunately close down, day after day, we have a chance to preserve our agricultural heritage and land in Sonoma County. We will see more housing developments cover the West Petaluma landscape. As traffic worsens and the culture starts to die off, we will ask how it could have been preserved. The opportunity is now. This is a regular farm with traditional operations that will be no more impactful than a cut flower nursery.

We cannot speak for every cannabis operation in the County, but we feel strongly about the positive attributes of the small greenhouse project at 6095 Bodega Avenue. We will continue to use our home as vacation spot for our family, including my 4-year-old son and my daughter that was born last week – we have no concern about security. Labor intensive activities like processing, that draw in temporary labor, will not occur at the TRV site. We intend for local family members and friends to work at the site, which
will generate living wages while not creating significant traffic. Smell is fully mitigated through exhaust system attachments and the facility will be fully secured.

This property has been utilized for a variety of private and commercial agriculture activities for years and we intend to keep that tradition alive. In addition to the cows and chickens that currently live on the property, we are looking into adding some horses again and a large organic vegetable garden. We envision this property as one of the first diversified and sustainable family farms in Sonoma County.

We will continue to do everything we can to be the best neighbors we can be. We have fantastic relationships with virtually all of our neighbors and we are so excited to keep expanding our personal relationships throughout the county. We hold TRV to the same standard and to date they have been fantastic tenants with the same great relationships with our neighbors.

Please consider this project on its own merits and take into consideration its unanimous positive impacts on our local neighborhood and community and approve this project.

Regards,

Rachael Lester
Fenix Farms
Mr. Rabellino

I am a direct neighbor to the proposed cannabis development at 6095 Bodega Avenue in Petaluma and I am in favor of the project being approved by the Sonoma County PRMD. I grew up in our home at 6159 Bodega and I support the pursuit of any agricultural activity in the area. I believe in the use of one’s land for any legal and approved activity. Michael Wright from TRV walked me through the security measures he is taking, the minimal amount of water the project will consume, which is very important to me, and the other potential impacts of the business, so I feel comfortable with this project.

Sincerely,

Michael Parker
6159 Bodega Ave.
Petaluma, CA 94952
October 22, 2018

Scott Orr MCRP  
County of Sonoma  
Planning Division/Project Review  
2550 Ventura Avenue  
Santa Rosa, CA  95403  

Re: 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma

Dear Mr. Orr:

I am writing a letter to support the cannabis cultivation business located at 6095 Bodega Avenue. I have been a resident of Sonoma County for over 40 years. My husband was a Marin County and Sonoma County Deputy Sheriff for 29 years. I am a retired Court Reporter. I am an owner of the 6095 Bodega Avenue property into which I have invested a sizable amount of my retirement savings. I support a project that creates jobs, brings investment and generates tax dollars for the County, in addition to moving the marijuana business from the hands of criminals in our neighborhoods to a safe and secure government regulated facility.

Our tenants on the property will be growing in secure and smell free greenhouses so as not to affect the neighbors' enjoyment of their properties, while utilizing natural sunlight versus wasting power. Security systems, including a secured gate, will be installed to keep this property and its neighbors safe from intruders. TRV is complying with all of the County's rules and requirements and have been model tenants.

I feel Sonoma County needs to do more to increase its revenue and I believe the TRV project at 6095 Bodega Avenue would be a valuable asset to that objective and to the Petaluma business community as well as the neighboring residential community.

Sincerely,

Kris Wright
Hello Kyle,
This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved.

The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Jeff Jurkovich

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Peter Luber
Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Chris

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Dr. Courtney Richter
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Shelby Parks

--
Shelby Parks
707.548.4499
shelby.a.parks@gmail.com

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

--

John N. Hamilton
Mobile: 773.251.0259
Email: jhamilton2005@gmail.com

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. 
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
HELLO KYLE,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Travis Bonifacic
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Tom Stroud

tom@tomstroud.us
(312) 925-1455

Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Trevor Brooks
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Acton

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agricultural activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Both Sonoma County and the State of California have legalized cannabis use, but the county has been slow to approve permits, which has caused the market to largely be supplied with un-permitted cannabis. This has reduced the State’s tax collections, increased the risk to those purchasing legal cannabis products.

I have known Michael Wright for over 20 years. His father was a policeman in Santa Rosa, and his mother still lives there, so he has deep ties to the County. During that entire time, he has shown himself to be honest, above-board, earnest, and fair.

With respect to this project, I know Mr. Wright has worked for over two years to meet with the neighbors to address their concerns, but one or two of them have steadfastly refused any overtures. Apparently, they are opposed based upon a fundamental opposition to cannabis cultivation. They are entitled to that opinion. Yet that opinion should not be relevant in the County’s decision on approving an agricultural land use which is compliant with the permitting rules. If one neighbor can succeed in simply opposing a land use which is compliant with County rules and regulations, then what is the purpose of private property?

For the reasons listed above, I urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

David Stadlin

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
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From: Chris Villere  
To: Kyle Rabellino  
Subject: Permit for Two Rock Ventures  
Date: Monday, October 21, 2019 1:18:50 PM  

EXTERNAL

Dear Mr. Rabellino,

I’m writing today in support of Two Rock Ventures receiving a permit to cultivate cannabis.

This company will absolutely provide jobs and will contribute significantly to the local economy if the permit is granted.

Additionally, Two Rock Ventures is committed to the region and prepared to make a significant investment in Sonoma county.

Mr. Michael Wright, the owner of Two Rock Ventures, is a successful businessman who is dedicated to creating a business that will doubtlessly be an example of professionalism and legality in an industry that historically has not been.

Mr. Wright is committed to operating his venture with a minimum impact to the area, and further the location that he has chosen is in an agricultural area and the planned use absolutely conforms to the neighborhood.

Thank you in advance for supporting this project.

I’m quite sure that you will not second guess your vote supporting Two Rock Ventures.

Thanks for your time and support,

Sincerely,

Chris Villere

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.  
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Mr Rabellino,

I'm writing this email in support of pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Marco Teran  
Principal  

PLNT Labs  
San Francisco, CA  
818.961.6058  

Cal. License: CDPH-10003467
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Tucker Morrison
415.290.5292

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Dana Bonilla

--
Dana Bonilla
925.818.6783
dbonilla79@gmail.com
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Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma.

The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Aaron Andrew Moulton
Partner - Malgam Strategy & Design
251-402-2339
Aaron@malgam.co

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Taylor Parks

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hi Kyle --

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely, Kameron

--

Kameron M. Rezai
VP Business Operations
Verkada
kameron.rezai@gmail.com
c: 847-770-3833

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,
This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area. Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit. Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.
Sincerely,
Dawn Jurkovich
Sent from my iPad

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Kim Mraz-Nagel

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Bill Seper
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Kristi Tablerion
Hi Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Kimberly
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Dylan Parks
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Allison Castro

Sent from my iPhone
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Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Anthony R. Bonifacic
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Brandon Davito
Hello Kyle,
This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.
Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.
Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.
Sincerely,
Jacques Miramon
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Kyle,

Good morning! My name is Glenn Hayes, and I wanted to ask for your support in regards to the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Glenn

Glenn W. Hayes Area Vice President / Benefits Consulting
Gallagher Benefit Services

mobile: (504) 250 1978 | direct: (504) 872 3625
glenn_hayes@ajg.com
www.ajg.com
The Heritage Plaza, 111 Veterans Blvd, STE1130, Metairie, La 70005
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Mickey

Mickey Fisher
ILP Asset Management

The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.
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Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

An Ink Drawing

Alicia Fisher

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
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Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sonny Sanchez

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Carla Bronfeld

Sent from my iPhone
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Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Hello Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies all of the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The proposed project is located in Zone 2 water area, is on a large agriculture parcel, and is a good distance from surrounding homes. Additionally, the property is surround by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities, fits in with the designated zoning of the area, and will not impact traffic in the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements. Regardless of opposition, rules have been established and the applicant has provided ample evidence that this project qualifies for a cannabis cultivation permit.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,

Mike

mjf6994@yahoo.com

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.
Hi Kyle,

This email is submitted in support of the pending cannabis permit UPC17-0018 for 6095 Bodega Avenue, Petaluma. The applicant has provided the necessary documents to prove that the proposed project satisfies the requirements of the cannabis land use ordinance and should be approved. The property is surrounded by other commercial and family operated agriculture activities and fits in with the designated zoning of the area.

Ultimately, the land use ordinance has set the guidelines for cannabis permits, and this project has proven to satisfy all of those requirements.

Therefore, I strongly urge the County to issue a permit for this project.

Sincerely,
Alexa Wall

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don’t know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.