

Sonoma County

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Fiscal Year 2016-2017

Prepared by:



Sonoma County Community Development Commission

Sonoma County Housing Authority

1440 Guerneville Road, Santa Rosa, CA 95403-4107

Public Review Draft

This document was prepared using the HUD Integrated Disbursement & Information System

Contents

CR-05 – Goals and Outcomes.....	1
CR-10 – Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	6
CR-15 – Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	7
Leveraging.....	8
CR-20 – Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	11
CR-25 – Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c).....	13
CR-30 – Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	14
CR-35 – Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j).....	15
CR-40 – Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230.....	19
Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d).....	20
CR-45 – CDBG 91.520(c).....	21
CR-50 – HOME 91.520(d)	21
CR-60 – ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	23
CR-65 – Persons Assisted (ESG recipients only).....	24
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes.....	24
CR-75 – Expenditures.....	25

Attachment 1 – ESG CAPER Annual Reporting Tool

Attachment 2 – PR-26 Report

CR-05 – Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The Sonoma County Community Development Commission administers funds on behalf of the HUD-designated Urban County entitlement jurisdiction (the “Urban County”), consisting of the County of Sonoma, the Town of Windsor, and the cities of Cloverdale, Cotati, Healdsburg, Rohnert Park, Sebastopol, and Sonoma. During FY 16-17, the Commission addressed the goals and objectives outlined in the 2015 Consolidated Plan, either directly or through funding provided to other governmental or nonprofit agencies that assisted lower-income households. The 2015 Consolidated Plan organized community priorities for the use of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds by the Urban County into three broad goals: Affordable Housing, Homelessness, and Non-Housing Community Development.

During FY 16-17, Year Two of the 2015 Consolidated Plan, the Commission made significant progress toward these goals.

In the area of Affordable Housing, acquisition on the HOME-funded Sonoma Springs Mixed Use project was completed in June 2015 (accomplishments will be reported in FY 17-18 upon completion of construction) and will provide 11 (three HOME Low and eight HOME High) rental units. The CDBG- and HOME-funded Crossroads development will provide 11 (three HOME Low and eight HOME High) units. The HOME-funded Robinson Road acquisition project was funded in September 2015 and will provide seven (five HOME Low and two HOME High) rental units. The Commission made two housing rehabilitation loans and one grant to low-income homeowners, and more than 2,500 households received housing assistance in the form of tenant-based rental assistance through the Housing Choice Voucher Program and the HOME tenant-based rental assistance program.

In the area of Homelessness, in 2016, the “Building HOMES: A Policy Maker’s Toolbox for Ending Homelessness” was presented to every jurisdiction in Sonoma County and to over 1,000 individuals from dozens of private and public stakeholder groups. This advanced the goal of building a broad collaboration towards the Toolbox housing goals. The public engagement work related to the report culminated in the largest county-wide Housing Summit ever held in Sonoma County, in November of 2016. In response to the publication of the Building HOMES Toolbox, the Community Development Commission adopted a preference for homeless-dedicated units in the criteria for competitive funding for housing development, and expanded project-based vouchers for homeless-dedicated units. The Commission also led the development of a Tiny Homes pilot project, utilizing County-owned property, which will test the viability of this concept for addressing homelessness. This project will break ground in the fall 2017. Also in 2017, the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department is launching the General Plan update. This update will be informed by Toolbox policy recommendations to support the construction of more housing for people who are homeless. Using CDBG and ESG funds, a total of 2,835 households were assisted using coordinated entry, street outreach, and day services, and 46 percent of them (1,291) accessed temporary or permanent housing through those services. With combined CDBG or ESG funds, 1,099 persons were provided with emergency or transitional shelter, and 277 persons exited homelessness to permanent housing (25 percent), and rapid re-housing assistance ended homeless episodes for 105 households, consisting of 169 persons, out of 135 households served.

The achievements in the area of rapid re-housing assistance are higher than anticipated due to the addition of significant local dollars to ESG funding in FY 2016-17. Homeless Prevention funding was continued utilizing local rather than federal funding, and therefore no accomplishments for this activity appear in the chart below.

In the area of Non-Housing Community Development, 17 microenterprises received training, technical assistance, and referrals for loans and individual development accounts. Additionally, eight ADA compliance projects were completed in the last year, and 17 more are underway throughout the Urban County.

Sonoma County Fair Housing provided 1,790 low-income, very low-income, and extremely low-income households with information and referral services in response to Fair Housing-related inquiries. The agency also offered community training sessions on Fair Housing throughout the Urban County.

More detail on the FY 16-17 Accomplishments are found in the chart below.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Rental units constructed ¹	Household Housing Unit	40	0	0%	11	0	0%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	20	116	580%	78	0	0%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$166,198	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated ²	Household Housing Unit	50	61	122%	15	3	20%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	10	2	20%	0	0	0%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$57,532	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Re-housing ³	Households Assisted	2,820	2,827	100%	10	26	260%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0%	2	0	0%
Homelessness	Homeless	ESG: \$72,857	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Re-housing ⁴	Households Assisted	150	55	37%	79	135	171%
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$112,017 / ESG: \$64,212	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter ⁵	Persons Assisted	750	1,084	145%	1,031	1,099	107%
Homelessness	Homeless		Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	250	56	22%	0	0	0%

¹ IDIS activity 975 Burbank Housing Development Corporation – Crossroads

² IDIS activities 1020 and 1073 Community Development Commission Housing Rehabilitation Program and 1067 DSLC Housing Access Modification Program

³ IDIS activities 945 and 1083 Sonoma County Housing Authority Tenant-Based Rental Assistance

⁴ IDIS activity 1080 ESG 16 Rapid Re-Housing (Catholic Charities Rapid Re-Housing and Committee on the Shelterless Rapid Re-Housing)

⁵ IDIS activities 1065 Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing; 1066 Committee on the Shelterless Mary Isaak Center Emergency Shelter; 1079 ESG Catholic Charities Family Support Center

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$84,728	Other ⁶	Persons Assisted		2,835	N/A	2,500	2,835	113%
Non-Housing Community Development	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$12,915	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit ⁷	Persons Assisted	5,000	8,912	178%	29,548	2,645	9%
Non-Housing Community Development	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$52,000	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit ⁸	Households Assisted	100	3,322	3,322%	2,500	1,798	72%
Non-Housing Community Development	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$0	Businesses assisted ⁹	Businesses Assisted	75	68	91%	0	17	N/A

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

⁶ IDIS activities 1061 Catholic Charities - Coordinated Intake / HOST and 1062 Catholic Charities – Homeless Service Center

⁷ IDIS activities 1036 Cloverdale – Pedestrian Safety Improvements, 1069 Rohnert Park – ADA Transition Plan, 1071 – Russian River Recreation & Park District – JK Wright Park, 1076 Regional Parks – Helen Putnam Park ADA, 1077 Windsor Starr Rd Sidewalk Phase 3

⁸ IDIS activity 1068 Petaluma People’s Service Center Fair Housing

⁹ IDIS activity 1021 Sonoma County Economic Development Board

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

While there are no reported accomplishments for new rental units constructed or Housing for Homeless added, there are 79 CDBG-funded rental units under construction, 24 of which are targeted to extremely low-income or below households, and 18 HOME-funded units in development, eight of which are targeted to low-income households. Increasing housing stock that is affordable, safe, and accessible for low-, very low-, and extremely low-income families and individuals remains a key strategy for the Urban County, and progress is being made toward that goal.

The Urban County did not meet its strategic plan goals for homeownership or rental rehabilitation during FY 16-17, but continues to prioritize the preservation of affordable housing stock in a market where there is very little new affordable housing being developed. Two owner-occupied housing rehabilitation projects were completed, and one household received a grant for installation of a mobile home earthquake-resistant bracing system. The Commission leverages other funding in its housing rehabilitation program, and in FY 16-17, using state and local funds, rehabilitated nine owner-occupied homes.

Tenant-based rental assistance, both from the Housing Choice Voucher program and from HOME TBRA are serving approximately the expected number of households and these programs remain a high priority in order to subsidize rent in the Urban County’s high-cost housing market.

Goals for outreach to unsheltered persons, overnight shelter, and rapid re-housing were all exceeded during the reporting period. The use of funds for promoting effective and proven strategies for homelessness prevention and interventions county-wide remain a high priority.

Goals for creating and/or replacing infrastructure systems and public facilities were exceeded during the reporting period. Goals were also exceeded for economic development assistance for micro-enterprises to increase job opportunities in the Urban County.

Other than Fair Housing counseling, there were no non-homeless public services provided during FY 16-17 using FY 16-17 CDBG funds. However, non-federal funds were used to provide key services including non-homeless workforce development; family and youth services; a food bank; and domestic violence and sexual assault crisis intervention, prevention, and treatment for low-income residents of the Urban County. Non-federal funds also provided funding for additional street outreach, day centers, overnight shelter, rapid re-housing, and permanent supportive housing.

CR-10 – Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	67,353	23	366
Black or African American	1,606	1	59
Asian	2,323	0	12
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1,306	2	132
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	212	1	11
Total	82,279	27	693
Hispanic	13,351	3	304
Not Hispanic	68,928	24	389

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

It should be noted that the table above does not include all racial categories that are reported in the HUD Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS) for CDBG- and ESG-funded accomplishments, therefore, the total provided is reflective of both the listed categories and the categories that were not included. For CDBG, the following additional racial categories were represented among those served: Asian & White: 34 persons; American Indian/Alaskan Native & White: 234 persons; Black/African American & White: 62 persons; American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American: 33 persons; Other Multi-racial: 9,110 persons. For ESG, in addition to the reported categories, there were 113 persons who reported as Other Multi-racial.

According to the 2007-11 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data, the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs are, for the most part, serving low-income households of a minority racial and ethnic make-up in similar proportion to the Urban County population at large. White households are served in a lower proportion by CDBG (41 percent) and ESG (53 percent) and in slightly higher proportion by HOME (85 percent) than they make up in the Urban County at large (80 percent). Black households (one percent of the total Urban County population) were one percent of those served by CDBG, four percent of those served by HOME, and nine percent of those served by ESG. Asian households (three percent of the total Urban County population), were three percent of those served by CDBG, zero percent of those served by HOME, and two percent of those served by ESG. American Indian or Alaskan Native households (one percent of the total Urban County population), were two percent of those served by CDBG, less than seven percent of those served by HOME, and 19 percent of those served by ESG. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander households (less than one percent of the total Urban County population), were less than one percent of those served by CDBG, four percent of those served by HOME, and two percent of those served by ESG.

CR-15 – Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	Public-federal	1,940,048	2,258,582
HOME	Public-federal	674,333	1,699,607
ESG	Public-federal	148,183	148,183

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

For CDBG, Table 3 – Resources Made Available was edited to match the PR-26 Report which included the FY 16-17 CDBG award from HUD (\$1,658,634) plus CDBG Program Income (\$281,414). CDBG “Amount Expended During Program Year” was edited to match PR-26 plus \$46,856 drawn after the end of FY 16-17 for work completed before 6/30/2016.

For HOME, the “Resources Made Available” was edited to match the actual amount awarded to subrecipients in FY 16-17, as submitted in the FY 16-17 Action Plan. The HOME “Amount Expended During Program Year” is the sum of \$118,627 in TBRA (IDIS activities 945 and 1083), \$6,782 for CHDO Operating costs (IDIS activity 1058), \$1,506,765 spent on Crossroads (IDIS activity 975), and \$67,433 spent on HOME Administration.

For ESG, the table was edited to match the amount of the FY 16-17 ESG award to the Urban County. ESG “Amount Expended During Program Year” was edited to reflect the amount spent in FY 16-17.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Urban County	100%	100%	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The FY 16-17 One-Year Action Plan encompassed activities undertaken by the County of Sonoma, as well as the seven municipalities comprising the HUD entitlement Urban County: Cloverdale, Cotati, Healdsburg, Rohnert Park, Sebastopol, Sonoma, and Windsor. Sonoma County does not dedicate funds to target areas. One hundred percent of funds will be used in the Urban County.

The Urban County jurisdictions share CDBG funds for capital projects and economic development programs in similar proportion to the number of residents living in each area. Beyond an initial allocation of available CDBG funds intended to assist capital projects and microenterprise economic development programs in incorporated and unincorporated areas, the jurisdictions do not pre-determine the locations where CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding are to be used in Sonoma County.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state, and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

As detailed throughout this report, the Commission utilized CDBG, HOME, ESG, Continuum of Care Program, County General Fund, and County Fund for Housing funds, along with funds from other public and private sources, to administer programs providing street outreach, coordinated entry, homelessness prevention, emergency shelter, transitional housing, rapid re-housing, permanent housing, and supportive services for the low-income residents of Sonoma County, including special needs populations who are not homeless but who require supportive housing. Continuum of Care funds were used to administer the Countywide Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), initiate a Coordinated Entry project, and for numerous Continuum of Care Rental Assistance programs.

Several federal funding sources, including HOME and McKinney-Vento funds, provide administration funding in amounts less than actual administration costs. The Sonoma County Board of Supervisors annually appropriates County General Funds to the Commission to augment these administration funds. In addition, Sonoma County General Funds provided operational resources for the Samuel Jones Homeless Shelter, a collaborative street outreach effort, a safe parking program, matching funds for the HMIS and Coordinated Entry project, and the Continuum of Care Coordination function. In addition, the Homeless Census and Survey is supported with Sonoma County General Funds and contributions from the county's nine cities.

For affordable housing projects in general, local government is often looked to as a "gap" financier, providing funds to achieve fiscal feasibility for projects that have acquired commercial mortgage and tax credit equity commitments and, perhaps, other public sources of debt or grant funding. The Commission routinely fills these development *pro forma* "gaps," allowing projects to move forward, and by doing so, leverages five to ten dollars for every dollar of "gap" financing. Having an award of HOME funds often enables the project sponsor to be more competitive in seeking funding from other sources, because an award of local public dollars can be demonstrated.

Similarly, with regard to public services funding, service provider agencies invariably cobble together a number of private and public sources of funding in order to deliver program services; the Commission is typically one of many funders for each public service program awarded grant funding. The level of service delivery taking place is far greater than the commensurate level of financial support provided through Commission funding alone, due to this mutual leveraging of funds with other funders.

Table 11f in CR-75 delineates the source and amount of matching funds utilized in carrying out the ESG projects in FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16, and FY 2016-17. Table 5 below delineates matching funds for HOME projects.

Table 11f in CR-75 delineates the source and amount of matching funds utilized in carrying out the ESG projects in FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16, and FY 2016-17. Table 5 below delineates matching funds for HOME projects.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	3,985,054.40
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	3,985,054.40
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	85,897
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	3,899,157.40

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
14,666	27,657	5,358	5,358	36,983

Table 7 – Program Income

HOME MBE/WBE report¹⁰

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises

Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting per

	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

¹⁰ Although there were HOME projects in construction during FY 16-17, none were completed. HOME MBE/WBE accomplishments for those projects will be reported for the program year in which projects are completed.

Minority Owners of Rental Property

Indicate the number of HOME-assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

	Number	Cost
Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 – Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	79	0
Number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	25	30
Number of special-needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	104	30

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	89	27
Number of households supported through the Production of New Units	10	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	93	3
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	192	30

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Several affordable housing goals were not met during the reporting period but it is anticipated that in the area of “Number of households supported through the Production of New Units,” the Strategic Plan goal of 10 new units will be exceeded during the next fiscal year, as it is expected that several HOME-funded projects will be completed. It is also anticipated that Strategic Plan goals will be exceeded in the area of “Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units.”

The number of non-homeless households provided affordable housing units is made up of 26 households assisted through the Sonoma County Housing Authority Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program, and three homeowners assisted through the Sonoma County Housing Rehabilitation Program.

The number of households supported through rental assistance is made up of the 26 households assisted through the Sonoma County Housing Authority Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program. The number of households supported through the rehabilitation of existing units include the three homeowners assisted through the Sonoma County Housing Rehabilitation Program.

Please note that, in order to follow HUD’s instruction that the numbers reported in this section are consistent with the accomplishments reported at the Activity Level in IDIS, the results only include CDBG and HOME results. ESG accomplishments are reported in CR-05 and eCART.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

It is anticipated that future action plans will continue to reflect the affordable housing goal set in the Consolidated Plan, which emphasizes the construction and preservation of affordable rental housing.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	1	20
Low-income	2	6
Moderate-income	0	1
Total	3	27

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

The extremely low-income households reported include one recipient of CDBG Housing Rehabilitation loans or grants (IDIS activities 1020 and 1073) and 20 recipients of HOME Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (IDIS activities 945 and 1083). The low-income households reported include two recipients of CDBG Housing Rehabilitation loans or grants and six Tenant-Based Rental Assistance recipients. The moderate income households include one Tenant-Based Rental Assistance recipients.

CR-25 – Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

In FY 2016-17, the Sonoma County Community Development Commission continued the County-wide Homeless Outreach Services Team (HOST) that began in 2015. The project works in cooperation with the Continuum of Care's implementation of Coordinated Entry. The HOST street outreach teams utilize the same evidence-based screening tools that are used by Coordinated Entry and aim to connect participants directly with Coordinated Entry for housing placement, as well as with benefits and health resources. The standard screening and assessment tools prioritize resources to the persons with critical health needs and the longest periods of homelessness. In FY 16-17, the HOST project assessed 391 unsheltered individuals and 435 families and placed 150 households in safe housing and 71 into permanent housing, directly off the street.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The focus of Sonoma County's emergency shelter and transitional housing programs is establishing safety through trauma-informed care, to stabilize and quickly house participants. In FY 16-17, CDBG funds supported 100 emergency shelter beds at Committee on the Shelterless' Mary Isaak Center and 18 homeless families at Committee on the Shelterless' Family Transitional Housing program. ESG funds were used to support 138 family emergency shelter beds at Catholic Charities' Family Support Center. Also, local funds supported emergency shelters and transitional housing throughout the Urban County, including Cloverdale, Guerneville, and Sonoma, as well as a women's shelter and a domestic violence safe house located in Santa Rosa which serves the entire region.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs.

The County, cities, and local nonprofit agencies offer a range of programs that provide financial and other assistance to those who are at-risk of becoming homeless due to financial emergencies, loss of existing dwelling units through inaccessibility by persons with mobility impairments, natural disasters, or unabated deterioration.

In FY 16-17, the Sonoma County Community Development Commission allocated local funds for a Homelessness Prevention program operated by Catholic Charities that assisted 96 households to avoid homelessness. In addition, the Commission allocated local funds for staffing for the HCA Family Fund (a rental assistance program operated by Community Action Partnership which serves 230 households annually), and for Eviction Defense provided by Legal Aid of Sonoma County (which served 563 households countywide).

The improving economy continues to play a significant role in an additional two percent decrease in homelessness as recorded in the 2017 Homeless Count. The number of people experiencing their first homeless episode declined from a high of 55 percent in 2011 (following the Great Recession) to 35 percent in 2016 and 24 percent in 2017, suggesting that fewer people are falling into homelessness each year.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again.

In FY 16-17, the Sonoma County Community Development Commission allocated ESG funds for two rapid re-housing programs that served 135 households, and supplemented local matching funds to support these and one additional rapid re-housing program targeting transitional-aged youth. In addition, the Commission allocated local funds to support permanent supportive housing for more than 95 formerly homeless households, including the ten formerly homeless transition-aged youth living at Sanctuary House in Cotati, 25 families with children living at COTS' Vida Nueva project in Rohnert Park, and at least 60 chronically homeless persons living at the Palms Inn in Santa Rosa.

In FY 16-17, the Sonoma County Continuum of Care continued system-wide training in the Seeking Safety evidence-based practice to give traumatized clients the tools to address life challenges before challenges become a trigger for relapse and a return to homelessness. Also, refinement of the System-wide Performance Measures have clarified baseline recidivism information: of 715 homeless persons who had been housed in federal FY 14-15, 17 percent became homeless again within the following 12 months, and an additional eight percent re-entered homelessness in the second 12 months. In the coming year, Continuum of Care staff will study the 211 re-entry cases to identify patterns in how and why recently homeless persons become homeless again.

CR-30 – Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Urban County does not operate public housing. The County primarily focuses resources on rental housing development and preservation. As opportunities arise, the County also devotes resources to affordable homeownership opportunities for lower-income first-time homebuyers.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The Urban County does not own or operate public housing units. The Sonoma County Community Development Committee (the advisory board to the Sonoma County Community Development Commission and the Sonoma County Housing Authority) includes two Section 8 participants and serves as the Housing Authority's resident advisory board.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable. The Sonoma County Housing Authority has been designated as a High Performing Agency for 17 consecutive years.

CR-35 – Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The Sonoma County Community Development Commission ensures compliance with the Federal Fair Housing Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 for all new housing construction projects. This includes that, at a minimum, five percent of the total dwelling units or at least one unit in a multifamily housing project, whichever is greater, shall be made accessible for persons with mobility impairments. A unit that is on an accessible route and is adaptable and otherwise in compliance with the standards set forth in §8.32 is accessible for purposes of this section. An additional two percent of the units (but not less than one unit) in such a project shall be accessible for persons with hearing or vision impairments.

In addition, the Sonoma County Community Development Commission requires all projects receiving HOME funds to adhere to the requirements of 24 CFR 92.351 and to follow its affirmative marketing procedures and requirements for rental and homebuyer projects containing five or more HOME-assisted housing units. Affirmative marketing steps consist of actions to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market area to the available housing without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status, or disability.

Furthermore, Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, known as Permit Sonoma, uses a number of tools to remove barriers to affordable housing: A Reasonable Accommodation Ordinance, in place for more than 10 years, allows exceptions and modifications to standards and policies for siting, development, and use of housing that gives persons with disabilities equal access to housing of their choice; local Density Bonuses for affordable housing and housing that incorporates Universal Design; an Affordable Housing Program that requires all new development contribute to development of new affordable housing, through on-site construction, payment of fees, or the equivalent; repeal of a Growth Management Ordinance that was hindering new affordable housing development; and streamlined provisions for accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units making it easier to build both, which will contribute to the affordable housing stock.

The Urban County and Cities of Santa Rosa and Petaluma have commenced preparation of an Analysis of Fair Housing (AFH). Part of the AFH includes setting Fair Housing Goals and Priorities. These new goals and priorities will be reflected in the 2020 Consolidated Plan.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

All of the projects funded in the FY 16-17 Action Plan addressed one or more of the obstacles to meeting underserved needs delineated in the 2015 Consolidated Plan. In addition, the Community Development Commission committed local Low-Moderate Income Housing Asset Funds to rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention programs, and the County of Sonoma committed County General Funds to support programs that serve the needs of the most vulnerable in the community through the Community Services Fund (CSF) and the County Fund for Housing (CFH). Also, following the California Department of Housing and Community Development's revision of its rules for the State ESG program, for FY 16-17, County General Funds were provided to supplement the State's allocation and ensure core homeless services would survive the resulting funding deficits. Funded projects and programs demonstrate efficiencies to best utilize the decreasing amounts of funding available to support positive outcomes for low-income persons. Funded affordable housing projects were based on readiness to proceed and innovative approaches to overcoming regulatory barriers and provide or preserve both rental and homeownership housing opportunities for lower-income persons. Funded emergency rental assistance and family self-sufficiency activities enabled lower-income individuals and families to obtain and retain rental housing in the high-priced market in Sonoma County. As the high cost of housing is a contributing factor in the County's homeless population, the funded emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, and rapid re-housing assistance activities assisted those in need to move as quickly as possible towards the goal of attaining stable, permanent housing. The Commission also funded micro-enterprise activities and supported economic development with the goal of establishing, stabilizing, and expanding small businesses and therefore creating new employment opportunities for low-income residents.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Making housing lead safe is the most effective strategy to protect children from lead poisoning. The Sonoma County Community Development Commission addresses this through proactively disseminating printed information concerning lead-based paint hazards to all residents of housing rehabilitation and rental assistance programs. The Commission's Housing Quality Standards (HQS) Inspectors have received training in visual assessment of lead-based paint hazards and are observant of the existence of this hazard during their property inspections, thereby preventing exposure to lead hazards by identifying the risks before families with children under six years of age move into rental units that are assisted by the Housing Authority.

The Commission's Rehabilitation Specialists are trained in the identification of lead hazards, proper methods of paint stabilization, interim control, abatement procedures, and testing/clearance reports to ensure compliance with all lead hazard reduction requirements during rehabilitation activities. Any dwellings not exempt from HUD's lead hazard reduction provisions (single-room occupancy, dedicated senior housing, and housing constructed after 1978) renovated under the Housing Rehabilitation Program undergo a lead hazard risk assessment by a state-certified Risk Assessor prior to the formulation of the project's scope of work. Any identified lead hazards are addressed in the scope of work and a certified clearance is obtained before the project is considered complete.

The Commission also ensures compliance with lead hazard reduction requirements by sub-recipients of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding used to acquire and/or renovate existing buildings for housing or to undertake residential and re-housing service activities through regular monitoring of those activities. None of the three owner-occupied homes rehabilitated in FY 16-17 fell under the category for testing.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Urban County works to reduce the number of poverty-level families by targeting CDBG, HOME, ESG, and local funds to projects that provide services to foster self-sufficiency, in conjunction with the provision of housing, shelter, and other public facilities. The Sonoma County Community Development Commission implements the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program and numerous Continuum of Care Rental Assistance Programs. Rental assistance enables lower-income individuals and families to obtain and retain rental housing in the high-priced market in Sonoma County. These households face severe obstacles to obtaining safe, affordable, local housing and cannot afford market-rate rental housing in Sonoma County. The Commission made CDBG and ESG funding available to various nonprofit agencies to operate programs that work to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level through various services for low income and homeless residents, including shelter and rapid re-housing programs. The Commission also made CDBG funding available to support micro-enterprises that build wealth and create jobs for low-income households. Among the activities supported are individual development accounts, micro-lending assistance, and classes and individualized coaching on a number of topics including starting a business, budgeting, and creating marketing plans. The County's involvement in the Continuum of Care process, Section 3 compliance, SonomaWORKS, Job Link, Upstream Investments, Health Action, and the actions of the Local Workforce Investment Board all foster greater economic opportunities for the lower-income residents of Sonoma County.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Sonoma County Community Development Commission worked in conjunction with multiple County departments, the seven participating municipalities, and various nonprofit agencies receiving CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding to carry out the activities during the FY 16-17 year. The Commission also worked closely with two cities, Santa Rosa and Petaluma, who are not part of the Urban County but who operate similar programs. A concerted effort has been made to create County-wide partnership and coordination and institutional structure. Our work with the Continuum of Care and our Homeless Services Outreach Team (HOST) which works with these other jurisdictions are other examples. The Sonoma County Housing Authority maintains service provider agreements with local supportive service agencies to provide services to the Housing Authority's Family Self-Sufficiency participants.

The County of Sonoma encourages collaboration between housing providers and health, mental health, and service agencies with the intention of fostering development of more supportive housing options throughout the County. The County's leading nonprofit housing developers successfully collaborate with other local nonprofit agencies to develop and operate affordable housing projects with specific set-asides of permanent supportive housing units for persons with mental, developmental, or other disabilities included in the overall development.

In FY 16-17, the Community Development Commission continued to host and staff the ongoing Continuum of Care planning process, which facilitates increased collaboration among the County, City of Santa Rosa, City of Petaluma, the County Departments of Health and Human Services, and virtually all housing and homeless service providers throughout Sonoma County. Continuum of Care meetings have served and continue to serve as an instrumental force in forging new connections and working

relationships with several different participants as well as responding to the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act requirements of reducing the length of homeless episodes, reducing returns to homelessness, and increasing participant incomes.

The Community Development Commission staffed the Continuum of Care Board in addressing the goals and action steps required under the HEARTH Act regulations and the Emergency Solutions Grant program, including reducing and ending homelessness through:

- Expanded street outreach and system-wide coordinated assessment;
- Shortening lengths of stay while addressing the key needs of people in emergency and transitional housing, especially their health and income needs;
- Reducing returns to homelessness from permanent housing;
- Helping homeless persons access permanent housing;
- Helping low-income persons avoid homelessness;
- Implementing a “no wrong door approach” through expansion of Coordinated Entry; and
- Increasing income through employment and accessing mainstream resources.

The partnership between the Community Development Commission and the Continuum of Care grew through the execution of a Memorandum of Understanding for the purpose of consulting and collaborating on the allocation and administration of ESG funds for non-entitlement communities within Sonoma County, on behalf of the California Department of Housing and Community Development.

In FY 16-17, the Continuum of Care continued to host working groups to implement its 10-Year Homeless Action Plan 2014 Update, available on the Community Development Commission’s website at <http://www.sonoma-county.org/cdc/cdhomeless.htm>. Working groups such as “RENT Sonoma” (addressing landlord engagement), a Workforce Development Committee, a SOAR Disability Income Initiative, and “Best Practices in Integrative Health” working group have undertaken key goals under the 10-Year Plan’s Housing, Income, and Health rubric. The 2017 application for Continuum of Care funds also addressed the homeless needs in Sonoma County and can be accessed by contacting the Sonoma County Community Development Commission.

Lastly, in FY 16-17 the Community Development Commission began work with HomeBase / The Center for Common Concerns, using that agency’s expertise to shape future funding policies, contracts and deliverables to align with federal and state Housing First and HEARTH mandates. HomeBase is also conducting an organizational assessment to ensure the Continuum of Care is best-situated for more effective collective action towards ending homelessness throughout Sonoma County.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Community Development Commission has on-going interaction with many public and private entities to further the objectives set forth in the 2015 Consolidated Plan and the FY 16-17 Action Plan. All agencies, public and private, County departments, and the public are invited to all public meetings and forums. Representatives of many of these agencies also attend Continuum of Care meetings. The Continuum of Care Board is responsible for development of standards for administering ESG funds under the HEARTH Act, monitors implementation of Coordinated Entry, and invites participation from all local agencies that serve Sonoma County’s current and potential homeless population. The Continuum of Care’s RENT Sonoma effort engages private landlords and property managers to incentivize working with social service agencies to permanently house homeless persons.

Furthermore, in an effort to engage new landlords and strengthen existing landlord relationships, the Housing Authority has continued the programs implemented last year. The fourth edition of *The Landlord Lowdown*, the Housing Authority's quarterly newsletter, was sent to over 1,000 landlords. Additionally, staff continues to host the Landlord Educational Series, which invites current and potential landlords for informal sessions on topic such as rent portion, inspections, and the Housing Authority waiting list. These sessions also provide an excellent opportunity for prospective landlords to ask any questions they might have about the voucher program, and form relationships with key Housing Authority staff. Lastly, staff of the Housing Authority continue to participate in the North Bay Association of Residential Property Managers, the California Apartment Association, and the North Bay Association of Realtors.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

In response to Sonoma County's 2011 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice report which cited the lack of affordable housing as a barrier to fair housing choice, the Community Development Commission continues to use its CDBG, ESG, and HOME funding to support projects that increase the supply and improve the conditions of affordable housing for lower-income persons. The Commission annually allocates funds for a Fair Housing program and has begun planning towards its first Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) Plan under the new Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule.

CR-40 – Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Projects and programs that are funded with CDBG, ESG, and HOME monies are subject to monitoring. All subrecipients receiving CDBG Public Services funds and ESG funds are monitored on a regular basis through the submittal of quarterly reports to Sonoma County Community Development Commission staff, as identified in their annual funding agreements. Each report provides statistics on the program participants and a narrative on the activities undertaken during the reporting period. Each subrecipient also submits an annual report at the end of the contract term summarizing their accomplishments for the year. The information that is provided to Commission staff is entered into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS). On either a monthly, quarterly, or one-time basis, dependent upon the Funding Agreement, the subrecipients submit reimbursement requests including proof of payment for all expenses. Commission staff reviews the financials and program records of each subrecipient annually; a selection of these reviews are done on-site, and the remainder are desktop reviews.

Both CDBG Public Services and ESG-funded projects are subject to annual onsite monitoring visits. Prior to the onsite monitoring, subrecipients complete monitoring questionnaires and ensure policies required to be on file are up to date. Commission staff conducts a review of the current Funding Agreement, Quarterly Reports, reimbursement requests, and two years of financial audits. The onsite monitoring visit is an opportunity for Commission staff to learn about the daily operations at program sites, meet with key subrecipient staff and identify any areas of concern. The overall focus of monitoring visits is technical assistance and quality improvement. Commission staff supports the subrecipient to

understand regulations and avoid compliance issues. Following the site visit, Commission staff sends a Monitoring Letter to the subrecipient describing areas for improvement and violations of law or Funding Agreement Terms. Once the organization has responded to the items noted in the letter, Commission staff evaluates the response and follows up as required.

For all capital improvement projects, the Commission collects income and asset verifications, demographic data, verification of insurance, and ownership verification, if applicable. All projects are inspected during construction to ensure that all work is in compliance with the originally proposed scope. All projects awarded HUD funding must obtain environmental clearance under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) prior to incurring costs, executing agreements or expending any funds on the project. The cost of completing the appropriate level of environmental review is an eligible expense under both HOME and CDBG and may be paid with the allocated funds, whether the environmental work is completed in-house or by a consultant. NEPA-trained Commission staff will determine the appropriate level of environmental review required at the time project proposals are submitted for funding. Finally, for all capital improvement projects subject to Davis-Bacon Labor Standards, the Commission staff locks in the wage rate applicable to the project, verifies the contractor is not ineligible or debarred from Federal contracts, conducts in-house desk reviews of Certified Payroll Reports, and performs on-site interviews to ensure wage compliance of all contractors.

For organizations that receive HOME or CDBG funds for development of housing, long-term affordability controls are recorded in conjunction with the funding documents. Commission staff conducts compliance reviews as required by the program regulations or as deemed prudent. In addition, each recipient of funds is subject to federal audit requirements. The Commission has monitoring and compliance procedures in place to ensure that any deficiencies or findings are handled consistently. For rental complexes that have received funding through the CDBG or HOME programs, regular reporting and compliance monitoring is conducted by Commission staff. For HOME units, quarterly reporting includes information on the number of household members; household income level; whether the household receives Housing Choice Voucher rental assistance; the bedroom size of the unit, the rent being charged; the maximum rent that can be charged for the unit; and the household's recertification date. HOME units are subject to regular on-site monitoring per the HOME Regulations.

On an annual basis, the Commission submits the CDBG and HOME Minority Owned and Women Owned Business Report to HUD. The Commission encourages participation from minority and women business enterprises. This effort includes notices in bid solicitation, newspaper advertisements, and contract clauses requiring contractors, to the greatest extent feasible, to provide opportunities for training and employment for minority- and women-owned business enterprises.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

To ensure that all citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties have the opportunity to review and comment on performance reports on housing and community development activities and the use of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds, the Commission ensures that the public is provided with reasonable and timely access to meetings, information, and records. A draft of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is made available for public review for 14 days prior to submission to HUD and a notice of availability is published in the local newspaper. A bilingual English and Spanish

recorded announcement, describing the CAPER's contents and its availability for comment, was distributed to local radio stations. The draft is posted on the Commission's website in a format accessible to persons with disabilities. Hard copies are made available in the Community Development Commission lobby, in the Board of Supervisors lobby, and at the main branch of the Sonoma County Public Library. The draft CAPER is also distributed via email to interested parties and no-cost copies of the documents are provided to interested citizens that do not have Internet access, upon request. A public hearing to discuss the CAPER is held during the public comment period. A public notice of the hearing is published at least two weeks prior to the hearing date in English and Spanish. The hearing is held in the Community Development Commission Hearing Room. The meeting space is accessible by public transportation and is wheelchair accessible. Special accommodations for persons with visual or hearing impairments are made upon request. In cases where a significant number of non-English speaking residents are expected to attend the public hearing, the Commission supplies an interpreter.

The Commission has developed a language access policy to protect the individual recipients of its services from the effects of discrimination because of their race, color, or national origin. The goal of this policy is to provide meaningful access to translation or interpreter services, for persons with Limited English Proficiency. This policy extends to all partners in the Commission's programs, including but not limited to local government entities, assisted housing providers, public service providers, and affordable housing developers. In addition, the Commission will take affirmative steps to communicate with people who need services or information in a language other than English. In consideration of these policies, the Commission has developed the Language Access Plan to implement solutions to the identified needs of the populations it serves.

CR-45 – CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

There were no changes in Sonoma County's program objectives during FY 16-17.

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

Not applicable.

CR-50 – HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

During FY 16-17, the Commission's Affordable Housing Staff visited all of the HOME-assisted rental housing developments that were due to be inspected. These were:

- Riverfield Homes (11 HOME units)
- Sanctuary House (8 HOME units)
- Larkfield Oaks (11 HOME units)
- Bodega Hills Apartments (11 HOME units)
- Carrillo Place (11 HOME units)
- The Arbors (11 HOME units)
- Vinecrest Senior Apartments (59 HOME units)
- Winter Creek Apartments (11 HOME units)
- Lavell Village (11 HOME units)
- Windsor Redwoods (11 HOME units)
- Petaluma Avenue Homes (11 HOME units)

Each HOME site visit included an interview with the resident manager, a review of the files for all HOME-assisted units, inspections of several HOME-assisted units pursuant to the regulatory requirements, and inspection of the common areas and grounds. All HOME-assisted developments were found to be well managed and well maintained. The site visits did not reveal any findings or significant concerns.

**Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units.
92.351(b)**

All recipients of HOME and CDBG funds are required to submit Minority Business Enterprise/Woman Owned Business Enterprise (MBE/WBE) outreach, affirmative marketing, and Section 3 Plans as applicable to their projects, and Commission staff monitors subrecipients on an on-going basis to ensure that they implement such plans and are in compliance with all related laws and regulations.

The Sonoma County Community Development Commission requires all projects receiving HOME funds to adhere to the requirements of 24 CFR 92.351 and to follow its affirmative marketing procedures and requirements for rental and homebuyer projects. Affirmative marketing steps consist of actions to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market area to the available housing without regard to race, color, national origin, sex (including sexual orientation and gender identity), religion, familial status, or disability.

Projects receiving HOME funds must annually assess the success of affirmative marketing at balancing applicants and residents with the demographics of the jurisdiction at large. Commission staff review this annual assessment and determine if corrective actions are needed.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

The HOME program started off FY 16-17 with \$14,666 in program income. Over the reporting period, \$27,657 in program income was generated. Program income used during the reporting period was \$5,358, all of which was used for the Sonoma County Community Development Commission Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program. Twenty-seven households were assisted, 20 of which were extremely low-income, six of which were very low-income, and one of which was moderate-income. Of these households, 23 were white.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

In FY 16-17, 29 units were in construction using HOME funds. This includes Sonoma Springs Mixed Use project in unincorporated Sonoma Valley, Crossroads in Santa Rosa, CA, and Robinson Road Transitional Housing in Sebastopol, CA. The units constructed in these projects will be reported in future CAPERs.

CR-60 – ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

**ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*
For Paperwork Reduction Act**

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	SONOMA COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	080126444
EIN/TIN Number	946000539
Identify the Field Office	SAN FRANCISCO
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Santa Rosa/Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	John
Middle Name	D
Last Name	Haig
Suffix	0
Title	Assistant Executive Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	1440 Guerneville Rd
Street Address 2	0
City	Santa Rosa
State	CA
ZIP Code	95403-4107
Phone Number	7075657508
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	John.Haig@sonoma-county.org

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Jenny

Last Name Abramson
Suffix
Title Homeless & Community Services Manager
Phone Number 7075657548
Extension
Email Address Jenny.Abramson@sonoma-county.org

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2016
Program Year End Date 06/30/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Santa Rosa
 City: Santa Rosa
 State: CA
 Zip Code: 95403, 2048
 DUNS Number: 847356318
 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
 Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
 ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 1333480

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Committee on the Shelterless (COTS)
 City: Petaluma
 State: CA
 Zip Code: 94952
 DUNS Number: 847356318
 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
 Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
 ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 1333480

CR-65 – Persons Assisted (ESG recipients only)

Starting in 2015, ESG recipients no longer complete CR-65, but instead complete the ESG-CAPER Annual Reporting Tool. This tool is included in the report as Attachment 1.

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	50,874
Total Number of bed-nights provided	42,616
Capacity Utilization	83.77%

Table 14 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Rapid Re-Housing: The Continuum of Care has established “retention of permanent housing at exit” as the performance measure for rapid re-housing projects. The two ESG-funded rapid re-housing programs served 135 households with 236 family members during FY 16-17. During the reporting period, 182 persons exited the program, 169 (93 percent) of persons who exited were permanently housed at exit.

Family Support Center: The Continuum of Care has established “exit to permanent housing” as the performance measure for emergency shelters. The Family Support Center served 139 households comprised of 457 persons during FY 16-17. Of the 320 persons who exited the program, 116 (36 percent) exited to a permanent housing destination.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	7,846	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	7,846	0

Table 15 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	19,780	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	59,678	22,220	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	14,452	22,000	72,857
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	74,130	64,000	72,857

Table 16 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Essential Services	31,493	61,502	64,212

Operations	20,051	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	51,544	61,502	64,212

Table 17 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	10,189	10,812	11,119
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 18 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
428,206	135,863	144,160	148,183

Table 19 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	175,000	96,460	0
Other Federal Funds	5,274	0	0
State Government	43,366	40,000	0
Local Government	113,805	59,154	577,674
Private Funds	577,497	443,231	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	914,942	638,845	577,674

Table 20 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2014	2015	2016
2,495,667	1,050,805	719,005	725,857

Table 21 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment 1 – ESG CAPER Annual Reporting Tool

The tables in the attached “Combined Report” replace the former CR-65 section of the CAPER. The data captured in these tables is for persons served by FY 16-17 Sonoma County Emergency Solutions Grant funding.

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

5a. HMIS or Comparable

Database Data Quality Q5a

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	0	0
Last name	0	0
SSN	72	40
Date of Birth	0	0
Race	0	0
Ethnicity	0	0
Gender	0	0
Veteran Status	0	0
Disabling condition	0	39
Living situation (Head of Household and Adults)	0	5
Relationship to Head of Household	0	0
Destination	0	1
Client location for project entry	0	0

Q6. Persons Served

6a. Report Validations

Table Q6a

a. Total number of persons served	693
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)	335
c. Number of children (under age 18)	358
d. Number of persons with unknown age	0
e. Total number of leavers	502
f. Number of adult leavers	243
g. Total number of stayers	191
h. Number of adult stayers	92
i. Number of veterans	16
j. Number of chronically homeless persons	145
k. Number of adult heads of household	274
l. Number of child heads of household	0
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25	9
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children	13

6b. Number of Persons Served

Q6b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Adults	335	120	215	0	0
b. Children	358	0	348	10	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	693	120	563	10	0

Q7a. Households Served

7a. Number of Households Served Q7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	274	110	164	0	0

7b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	93	41	52	0	0
April	77	31	46	0	0
July	61	24	37	0	0
October	85	44	41	0	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements

9a. Number of Persons Contacted Q9a

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?	351	0	0	0	0
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	351	0	0	0	0

9b. Number of Persons Engaged Q9b

Combined Report

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total persons engaged	0	0	0	0	0
c. Rate of engagement (%)	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q10. Gender

10a. Gender of Adults Q10a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	120	64	56	0
b. Female	215	56	159	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	335	120	215	0

10b. Gender of Children Q10b

Combined Report

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	185	177	8	0
b. Female	173	171	2	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	358	348	10	0

10c. Gender of Persons

Missing Age Information Q10c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	0	0	0	0	0
b. Female	0	0	0	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0

10d. Gender by Age

Ranges

Q10d

Combined Report

	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	305	185	5	108	7	0	0
b. Female	388	173	25	177	13	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
h. Total	693	358	30	285	20	0	0

Q11. Age Q11

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	117	0	115	2	0
b. 5 - 12	183	0	175	8	0
c. 13 - 17	58	0	58	0	0
d. 18 - 24	30	9	21	0	0
e. 25 - 34	109	24	85	0	0
f. 35 - 44	99	28	71	0	0
g. 45 - 54	55	26	29	0	0
h. 55 - 61	22	16	6	0	0
i. 62+	20	17	3	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
k. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
l. Total	693	120	563	10	0

Q12. Race & Ethnicity

12a. Race

Q12a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	366	86	276	4	0
b. Black or African-American	59	12	45	2	0
c. Asian	12	3	9	0	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	132	1	127	4	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	11	2	9	0	0
f. Multiple races	113	16	97	0	0
g. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
h. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
i. Total	693	120	563	10	0

12b. Ethnicity

Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	389	104	280	5	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	304	16	283	5	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	693	120	563	10	0

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions

13a1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions at Entry

Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	126	46	80	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	25	14	11	0	0
c. Drug abuse	25	5	20	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	22	12	10	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	115	42	72	1	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	3	1	2	0	0
g. Developmental disability	45	9	36	0	0
h. Physical disability	82	36	46	0	0

13b1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions of Leavers

Q13b1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	86	32	54	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	19	11	8	0	0
c. Drug abuse	20	4	16	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	16	8	8	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	83	30	52	1	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	3	1	2	0	0
g. Developmental disability	30	5	25	0	0
h. Physical disability	50	24	26	0	0

13c1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions of Stayers

Q13c1

Combined Report

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	40	14	26	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	6	3	3	0	0
c. Drug abuse	5	1	4	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	6	4	2	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	34	14	20	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	15	4	11	0	0
h. Physical disability	34	14	20	0	0

Q14. Domestic Violence

14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History

Q14a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	128	42	86	0	0
b. No	206	78	128	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	1	0	1	0	0
e. Total	335	120	215	0	0

14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

Q14b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	21	2	19	0	0
b. No	107	40	67	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	128	42	86	0	0

Q15. Living Situation

Q15

Combined Report

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Homeless situations					
a1. Emergency shelter	136	65	71	0	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	33	14	19	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	108	33	75	0	0
a4. Safe haven	0	0	0	0	0
a5. Interim housing	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	277	112	165	0	0
b. Institutional settings					
b1. Psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	5	1	4	0	0
b3. Hospital (non-psychiatric)	2	1	1	0	0
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	7	2	5	0	0

Combined Report

c. Other locations					
c01. PH for homeless persons	2	0	2	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	2	0	2	0	0
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	1	0	1	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	7	2	5	0	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	11	1	10	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	23	2	21	0	0
c11. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
c12. Information missing	5	1	4	0	0
cz. Total	51	6	45	0	0
d. Total	335	120	215	0	0

Q20. Non-Cash Benefits

20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	195	0	145
b. WIC	18	0	14
c. TANF Child Care services	2	0	4
d. TANF transportation services	0	0	0
e. Other TANF-funded services	0	0	0
f. Other source	2	0	1

Q21. Health Insurance Q21

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance	477	1	362
b. MEDICARE health insurance	42	1	29
c. State Children's Health Insurance	228	0	160
d. VA Medical Services	6	0	4
e. Employer-provided health insurance	22	0	19
f. Health insurance through COBRA	2	0	1
g. Private pay health insurance	3	0	0
h. State Health Insurance for Adults	136	0	96
i. Indian Health Services Program	3	0	4
j. Other	1	0	0
k. No health insurance	51	0	32
l. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0
m. Data not collected	0	0	1
n. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment	0	190	0
o. 1 source of health insurance	375	0	271
p. More than 1 source of health insurance	267	1	198

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG projects

Q22a2

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days	18	8	10
b. 8 to 14 days	36	33	3
c. 15 to 21 days	29	15	14
d. 22 to 30 days	24	19	5
e. 31 to 60 days	83	57	26
f. 61 to 90 days	89	56	33
g. 91 to 180 days	313	246	67
h. 181 to 365 days	97	65	32
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	4	3	1
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	0	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0
o. Total	693	502	191

Q22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	107	68	38	1	0
b. 8-14 days	0	0	0	0	0
c. 15-21 days	0	0	0	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
f. 61 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
g. 181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
j. Total	236	103	132	1	0

Q22d. Length of Participation by Household type Q22d

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0 to 7 days	18	2	15	1	0
b. 8 to 14 days	36	1	35	0	0
c. 15 to 21 days	29	2	23	4	0
d. 22 to 30 days	24	5	17	2	0
e. 31 to 60 days	83	19	64	0	0
f. 61 to 90 days	89	20	69	0	0
g. 91 to 180 days	313	43	268	2	0
h. 181 to 365 days	97	26	70	1	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	4	2	2	0	0
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
o. Total	693	120	563	10	0

**Q23. Exit Destination –
More than 90 Days** Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	76	37	39	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	16	5	11	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

az. Total	94	44	50	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	3	1	2	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	6	0	6	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	1	1	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	10	2	8	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	104	46	58	0	0

Q23a. Exit

Destination—All persons

Q23a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	68	2	66	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	42	1	39	2	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	6	0	6	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

az. Total	116	3	111	2	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	37	3	34	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	17	0	17	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	62	2	60	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	22	0	22	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	37	0	37	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	8	2	6	0	0
bz. Total	183	7	176	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	8	0	8	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	3	1	2	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

cz. Total	11	1	10	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	3	0	3	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	7	2	2	3	0
dz. Total	10	2	5	3	0
e. Total	320	13	302	5	0

**Q23b. Homeless
Prevention Housing
Assessment at Exit**

Q23b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry	0	0	0	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
e. Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

k. Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
l. Client died	0	0	0	0	0
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	0	0	0	0	0
o. Total	0	0	0	0	0

Q24. Exit Destination –
90 Days or Less Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	56	23	33	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	19	6	13	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

az. Total	75	29	46	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	1	1	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	1	1	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	1	1	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	3	3	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	1	1	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

cz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	1	1	0	0	0
dz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
e. Total	80	34	46	0	0

25a. Number of Veterans Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	6	5	1	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	10	7	3	0
c. Not a veteran	319	108	211	0
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
e. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
f. Total	335	120	215	0

Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless	145	28	117	0	0
b. Not chronically homeless	548	92	446	10	0
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0

Attachment 2 – PR-26

PR26 – CDBG Financial Summary Report and PR26 – Activity Summary by Selected Grant Report



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2016
 SONOMA COUNTY , CA

DATE: 08-07-17
 TIME: 13:44
 PAGE: 1

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	2,583,745.86
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,658,634.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	281,413.96
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	1,039.63
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	4,524,833.45

PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,874,013.28
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	(50,294.46)
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	1,823,718.82
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	483,263.08
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	(95,254.99)
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	2,211,726.91
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	2,313,106.54

PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	344,693.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	1,529,320.28
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	(50,294.46)
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	1,823,718.82
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	100.00%

LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS

23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: PY: PY:
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%

PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	268,576.05
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	(19,781.05)
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	248,795.00
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,658,634.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	531,593.95
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	2,190,227.95
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	11.36%

PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	483,263.08
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	(95,254.99)
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	388,008.09
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,658,634.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	281,413.96
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	1,940,047.96
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	20.00%



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2016
 SONOMA COUNTY , CA

DATE: 08-07-17
 TIME: 13:44
 PAGE: 2

LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

Report returned no data.

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	9	1010	BHDC - Crossroads (CDBG)	12	LMH	\$173,701.00
2015	11	1034	BHDC - Crossroads	12	LMH	\$170,992.00
				12	Matrix Code	<u>\$344,693.00</u>
Total						\$344,693.00

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2011	5	932	5945974	Sebastopol - City ADA Transition Plan	03	LMC	\$1,784.01
2011	5	932	5979317	Sebastopol - City ADA Transition Plan	03	LMC	\$5,639.33
2011	5	932	6009003	Sebastopol - City ADA Transition Plan	03	LMC	\$11,326.94
2011	5	932	6037550	Sebastopol - City ADA Transition Plan	03	LMC	\$9,073.54
2012	4	960	6037550	Sebastopol Police and Fire ADA	03	LMC	\$63.62
2014	16	1018	5979317	Healdsburg ADA Ramps PH 4	03	LMC	\$82,200.00
2016	11	1069	6017241	Rohnert Park ADA Transition Plan	03	LMC	\$500.00
					03	Matrix Code	<u>\$110,587.44</u>
2015	13	1050	6054641	The Living Room Kitchen	03C	LMC	\$6,362.19
					03C	Matrix Code	<u>\$6,362.19</u>
2014	12	1023	5979317	SC Regional Parks Ragle Ranch ADA	03F	LMC	\$32,134.64
2015	18	1040	5945974	Cotati - Cader Field ADA	03F	LMC	\$1,170.00
2015	18	1040	6037550	Cotati - Cader Field ADA	03F	LMC	\$17,690.00
2015	20	1045	6047243	Rohnert Park - Alicia & Colegio Park Restroom ADA	03F	LMC	\$28,783.20
2015	22	1051	6047243	Windsor Town Green Playground ADA	03F	LMC	\$58,395.00
2016	3	1076	6027450	Regional Parks Helen Putnam Park ADA	03F	LMC	\$1,950.00
2016	5	1071	6002570	Russian River Recreation & Park District JK Wright Park	03F	LMA	\$800.00
					03F	Matrix Code	<u>\$140,922.84</u>
2012	4	953	5945974	Cotati-West School St ADA	03L	LMC	\$6,800.00
2012	4	953	6003976	Cotati-West School St ADA	03L	LMC	\$34,206.00
2013	4	983	5979317	Cloverdale - City-wide ADA	03L	LMC	\$25,000.00
2013	4	985	5945974	Cotati - La Plaza Sidewalk Gap	03L	LMC	\$26,074.14
2013	4	985	6003976	Cotati - La Plaza Sidewalk Gap	03L	LMC	\$69,332.86
2013	4	991	5979317	Healdsburg - ADA Ramps Project	03L	LMC	\$70,310.00
2014	15	1015	5945974	Cotati ADA Sidewalk Ramps	03L	LMC	\$6,660.00
2014	15	1015	5979317	Cotati ADA Sidewalk Ramps	03L	LMC	\$800.00
2014	15	1015	6003976	Cotati ADA Sidewalk Ramps	03L	LMC	\$145,741.00
2014	18	1024	5945974	Windsor Starr Rd Sidewalks	03L	LMC	\$20,324.14
2014	18	1024	5979317	Windsor Starr Rd Sidewalks	03L	LMC	\$4,254.28
2014	18	1024	6009003	Windsor Starr Rd Sidewalks	03L	LMC	\$314.00
2015	19	1043	5979317	Healdsburg - ADA Ramps Phase 5	03L	LMC	\$67,000.00
2016	9	1063	6027450	Cloverdale Pedestrian Safety Improvements	03L	LMA	\$800.00
2016	14	1077	6027450	Windsor Starr Road Sidewalk Phase 3	03L	LMC	\$1,700.00
2016	14	1077	6047243	Windsor Starr Road Sidewalk Phase 3	03L	LMC	\$1,000.00
					03L	Matrix Code	<u>\$480,316.42</u>
2015	4	1037	5945974	Catholic Charities - Supportive Housing	03T	LMC	\$13,260.12
2016	15	1061	5979317	Catholic Charities Coordinated Intake/HOST	03T	LMC	\$39,278.00
2016	17	1062	5979317	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$9,711.81
2016	17	1062	6002570	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$6,188.70
2016	17	1062	6017241	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$9,383.29
2016	17	1062	6027450	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$2,988.01



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2016
 SONOMA COUNTY , CA

DATE: 08-07-17
 TIME: 13:44
 PAGE: 3

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2016	17	1062	6037550	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$3,284.58
2016	17	1062	6047243	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$3,529.74
2016	17	1062	6054641	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$9,913.87
2016	19	1065	5979317	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$14,488.43
2016	19	1065	6002570	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$11,221.61
2016	19	1065	6009003	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$5,776.74
2016	19	1065	6017241	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$5,652.23
2016	19	1065	6027450	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$5,079.66
2016	19	1065	6037550	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$4,078.33
2016	20	1066	5979317	Committee on the Shelterless Mary Isaak Center ES	03T	LMC	\$65,720.00
					03T	Matrix Code	\$209,555.12
2015	7	1044	5945974	PPSC - Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$6,520.93
2016	22	1068	5979317	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$8,926.13
2016	22	1068	6002570	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$9,135.48
2016	22	1068	6017241	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$12,707.99
2016	22	1068	6037550	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$11,016.42
2016	22	1068	6054641	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$10,713.98
					05J	Matrix Code	\$59,020.93
2013	5	1020	5956299	CDC - Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$250,751.82
2013	5	1020	5964749	CDC - Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$59,907.30
2013	5	1020	5982184	CDC - Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$13,094.29
2016	4	1067	6027450	DSLHC Housing Access Modification	14A	LMH	\$800.00
2016	6	1073	5990335	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$39,023.56
2016	6	1073	6002562	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$9,114.87
2016	6	1073	6009004	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$23,323.86
2016	6	1073	6017242	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$22,680.97
2016	6	1073	6027443	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$7,379.33
2016	6	1073	6027450	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$8,132.70
2016	6	1073	6037549	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$4,336.31
2016	6	1073	6047246	SCCDC Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$24,010.33
					14A	Matrix Code	\$462,555.34
2015	12	1035	5979317	CAPSC Microenterprise Business Development	18C	LMCMC	\$60,000.00
					18C	Matrix Code	\$60,000.00
Total							\$1,529,320.28

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	4	1037	5945974	Catholic Charities - Supportive Housing	03T	LMC	\$13,260.12
2016	15	1061	5979317	Catholic Charities Coordinated Intake/HOST	03T	LMC	\$39,278.00
2016	17	1062	5979317	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$9,711.81
2016	17	1062	6002570	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$6,188.70
2016	17	1062	6017241	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$9,383.29
2016	17	1062	6027450	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$2,988.01
2016	17	1062	6037550	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$3,284.58
2016	17	1062	6047243	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$3,529.74
2016	17	1062	6054641	Catholic Charities Homeless Service Center	03T	LMC	\$9,913.87
2016	19	1065	5979317	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$14,488.43
2016	19	1065	6002570	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$11,221.61
2016	19	1065	6009003	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$5,776.74
2016	19	1065	6017241	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$5,652.23
2016	19	1065	6027450	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$5,079.66
2016	19	1065	6037550	Committee on the Shelterless Family Transitional Housing	03T	LMC	\$4,078.33
2016	20	1066	5979317	Committee on the Shelterless Mary Isaak Center ES	03T	LMC	\$65,720.00
					03T	Matrix Code	\$209,555.12



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2016
 SONOMA COUNTY , CA

DATE: 08-07-17
 TIME: 13:44
 PAGE: 4

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	7	1044	5945974	PPSC - Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$6,520.93
2016	22	1068	5979317	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$8,926.13
2016	22	1068	6002570	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$9,135.48
2016	22	1068	6017241	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$12,707.99
2016	22	1068	6037550	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$11,016.42
2016	22	1068	6054641	Petaluma People's Service Center Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$10,713.98
					05J	Matrix Code	\$59,020.93
Total							\$268,576.05

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
1994	2	2	6055518	CDBG COMMITTED FUNDS ADJUSTMENT	21A		(\$1,039.63)
2014	19	1025	5956301	CDBG Admin	21A		\$2,122.67
2015	25	1052	5956299	CDBG Admin	21A		\$106,317.95
2016	25	1078	5990342	CDBG Admin	21A		\$161,566.81
2016	25	1078	6002570	CDBG Admin	21A		\$13,885.63
2016	25	1078	6009003	CDBG Admin	21A		\$47,584.48
2016	25	1078	6017241	CDBG Admin	21A		\$57,969.11
2016	25	1078	6027450	CDBG Admin	21A		\$37,722.84
2016	25	1078	6037550	CDBG Admin	21A		\$12,997.93
2016	25	1078	6047246	CDBG Admin	21A		\$44,135.29
					21A	Matrix Code	\$483,263.08
Total							\$483,263.08

PR26 - Activity Summary by Selected Grant

Date Generated: 09/06/2017

Grantee: SONOMA COUNTY

Grant Year: 2016

Total Grant Amount for 2016 Grant year = \$1,658,634.00													
State	Grantee Name	Grant Year	Grant Number	Activity Group	Matrix Code	National Objective	IDIS Activity	Activity Status	Amount Funded From Selected Grant	Amount Drawn From Selected Grant	% of CDBG Drawn From Selected Grant/Grant	Total CDBG Funded Amount (All Years All Sources)	Total CDBG Drawn Amount (All Years All Sources)
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Administrative And Planning	21A		1078	Open	\$331,726.80	\$331,726.80		\$388,008.09	\$377,082.09
				Administrative And Planning					\$331,726.80	\$331,726.80	20.00%	\$388,008.09	\$377,082.09
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Housing	14A	LMH	1067	Open	\$40,307.00	\$1,163.00		\$40,307.00	\$1,163.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Housing	14A	LMH	1073	Open	\$381,538.00	\$0.00		\$936,091.50	\$188,429.99
				Housing					\$421,845.00	\$1,163.00	0.07%	\$976,398.50	\$189,592.99
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03	LMC	1064	Cancel	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03	LMC	1069	Open	\$87,000.00	\$500.00		\$87,000.00	\$500.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03	LMC	1070	Open	\$18,883.00	\$800.00		\$18,883.00	\$800.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03	LMC	1072	Cancel	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03	LMC	1074	Cancel	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03	LMC	1075	Cancel	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03F	LMA	1071	Open	\$50,384.00	\$800.00		\$50,384.00	\$800.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03F	LMC	1019	Open	\$70,000.00	\$0.00		\$168,023.00	\$0.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03F	LMC	1076	Open	\$100,000.00	\$7,697.50		\$100,000.00	\$7,697.50
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03L	LMA	1063	Open	\$112,000.00	\$800.00		\$112,000.00	\$800.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Improvements	03L	LMC	1077	Open	\$108,000.00	\$3,117.00		\$108,000.00	\$3,117.00
				Public Improvements					\$546,267.00	\$13,714.50	0.83%	\$644,290.00	\$13,714.50
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Services	03T	LMC	1061	Completed	\$39,278.00	\$39,278.00		\$39,278.00	\$39,278.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Services	03T	LMC	1062	Completed	\$45,000.00	\$45,000.00		\$45,000.00	\$45,000.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Services	03T	LMC	1065	Completed	\$46,297.00	\$46,297.00		\$46,297.00	\$46,297.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Services	03T	LMC	1066	Completed	\$65,720.00	\$65,720.00		\$65,720.00	\$65,720.00
CA	SONOMA COUNTY	2016	B16UC060008	Public Services	05J	LMC	1068	Completed	\$52,500.00	\$52,500.00		\$52,500.00	\$52,500.00
				Public Services					\$248,795.00	\$248,795.00	15.00%	\$248,795.00	\$248,795.00
				Total 2016					\$1,548,633.80	\$595,399.30	35.90%	\$2,257,491.59	\$829,184.58
				Grand Total					\$1,548,633.80	\$595,399.30	35.90%	\$2,257,491.59	\$829,184.58