

SONOMA COUNTY

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

David M. Koch
Chief Probation Officer



Community Corrections Partnership Meeting Minutes

September 24, 2018, 3:30 PM

1. Welcome

Meeting called to order at 3:30 p.m.

2. Introductions

CCP Members In Attendance:

David Koch, Chief Probation Officer
Kathleen Pozzi, Public Defender
Arlene Junior, Courts
John Naiman, Sheriff's Office
Dana Alvarez, CA Human Development
Nick Klein, CAO
Katie Greaves, Human Services

Also In Attendance:

Rob Halverson, Probation
Brad Hecht, Probation
Matt Perry, Probation
Pete Skinner, Sheriff's Office
Lisalinn Williams, Probation
Matt Perry, Probation
Cesar Basilio, Volunteer Center
Chis Cincota, DAAC
Steve Fabian, Public Defender
John Storm, Diocese of Santa Rosa
Samantha Feld, Probation
Carla Maus, Probation
Chris Williams, Probation
Liz Parra, Sheriff's Office
Connie Newton, Sheriff's Office

☐ **Adult Probation Services**

600 Administration Dr. 104J
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
(707) 565-2149
FAX (707) 565-2503

☐ **Supervised Adult Crews**

300 Fiscal Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
(707) 565-1175
Fax (707) 565-1185

☐ **Juvenile Probation Services**

7425 Rancho Los Guilicos Rd
Dept. B
Santa Rosa, CA 95409-6595
(707) 565-6229
FAX (707) 565-6329

☐ **Probation Camp**

7400 Steve Olson Lane
Forestville, CA 95436
(707) 565-8900
FAX (707) 565-8903

☐ **Juvenile Hall**

7425 Rancho Los Guilicos Rd
Dept A
Santa Rosa, CA 95409-6595
(707) 565-6300
FAX (707) 565-6393

☐ **Administrative Services**

600 Administration Dr. 104J
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
(707) 565-2149
FAX (707) 565-2878

3. Approval of Minutes

Koch: Aye	Junior: Aye
Naiman: Aye	
Pozzi: Aye	

Minor edits.

Both April 30, 2018 and June 25, 2018 minutes approved.

4. AB 109 Evaluation Update

(handouts-2)

Rob Halverson

Year Two Scope of Work:

CHD's Substance Abuse Services at the Day Reporting Center in progress.

The CCP approved a scope of work for year two and since that time, RDA has had a change in project management. Due to this, a new strategy was implemented, including a proposal to slow the pace and postpone two activities (Process Evaluation of Probation Supervision and Second Program Level Evaluation) and the associated costs until the following year.

Referencing handout, "Overarching Summary of AB 109 Provider Data Capacity/Sources"

The Data Capacity Assessment by RDA determined a need for technical assistance to help some of our programs prepare for evaluation in regards to data collection.

Reference handout, "AB 109 System Process Evaluation—Recommendations"

The handout will act as a template in making a decision/plan on the recommendations. What should the Committee do with the recommendations?

A discussion ensued.

Chief Koch recommended that all absent members of the Committee and those referenced in the recommendation document be provided the material for review.

Rob Halverson requested the Committee members read all recommendations and prioritize all recommendations (low, medium, high). Please submit responses by 10/22.

All responses will be collected and compiled into one document.

5. SB 10—Bail Reform and Pretrial Services

Chief Koch

SB 10 passed Legislature

- One year planning and implementation period.
- Implementation date 10/2019
- Potential change in which assessment tool we use

- Change in practice for who conducts risk assessment
- The Judicial Council will determine implementation, protocols and create a list of approved risk assessment tools. This is significant in this jurisdiction as ours is not a validated instrument.

As a reminder, the Sonoma Pretrial Risk Assessment Tool “SPRAT” was developed under Mike Jones, formally with the *Pretrial Justice Institute*. The tool took into account 300 items that were strongest in determining risk of FTA’s and risk of offending while on pretrial release. With these primary factors, he narrowed it down to 11 items to comprise the actual tool (scoring). However, in 2014, the CCP was uncomfortable adopting that tool (scoring), so ten enhancements were added. Those enhancements increased the level of supervision in our release/hold matrix. Those defendants who are released prior to adjudication, are placed on a higher level of supervision; while the number of defendants held in custody, pending adjudication also increased. Because of those additional enhancements, our tool will not likely survive a validation effort.

Another facet of the legislation states that the entity responsible for arresting and detaining a defendant is excluded from conducting assessments of those individuals. The entity that’s chosen by the Court’s to make the assessment will also be responsible for supervision. As it stands, Sonoma County has a hybrid system wherein the vast majority of pretrial assessments are conducted by the Sheriff’s Office staff, but this way of conducting assessments will need to change. The footnotes indicate there’s a likelihood Probation will take on this responsibility. These are the choices Judge Nadler will have to consider and decide before the implementation deadline.

Lastly, there is funding attached to pretrial services, both with planning and implementation work, and the actual assessment and monitoring functions. The formula for planning and implementation is similar to the AB 109 plan formula, which is \$100k for small counties/\$150k for medium/\$200k for large. Sonoma County falls in the medium category with an allocation of \$150k for the first year. Following that, court data gathered by the Judicial Council will be provided to the state to determine the allocations for assessment and monitoring.

A discussion ensued.

6. Future Meetings

October 29, 2018 at 3:30 p.m. at 370 Administration Drive, Santa Rosa, CA.

7. Public Comment on items not on agenda

None.

Meeting came to a close at 4:25 p.m.

For information please contact the Probation Department at (707) 565-2149. Copies of all agendas, minutes, and other meeting material are available to the public at the Probation Department, located at 600 Administration Dr., Room 104-J, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.